

MARINE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION  
COMMITTEE  
64th session  
Agenda item 4

MEPC 64/INF.22  
27 July 2012  
ENGLISH ONLY

## **AIR POLLUTION AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

### **First version of industry guidelines on calculation and verification of the Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI)**

**Submitted by BIMCO, CESA, IACS, ICS, INTERCARGO, INTERTANKO,  
ITTC, OCIMF and WSC**

#### **SUMMARY**

*Executive summary:* This document provides in the annex a first version of industry guidelines concerning the uniform implementation of the EEDI requirements and the role of the verifier in conducting the verification of EEDI

*Strategic direction:* 7.3

*High-level action:* 7.3.2

*Planned output:* 7.3.2.1

*Action to be taken:* Paragraph 5

*Related documents:* MEPC 62/5/21, MEPC 62/24; MEPC 63/INF.8, MEPC 63/23; resolutions MEPC.212(63), MEPC.214(63), and MEPC 64/4/32

#### **Introduction**

1 Document MEPC 62/5/21 informed the Committee of the work of a Joint Industry Working Group (JWG) on the EEDI, formed by the following international shipping associations and organizations: IACS, BIMCO, CANSI, CESA, CESS, ICS, INTERCARGO, INTERTANKO, KOSHIPA, OCIMF and SAJ. ITTC and WSC joined the JWG in 2011.

2 The work of the JWG is to prepare industry guidelines providing agreed procedures for the computation and the verification of the EEDI, compliant with the relevant IMO Guidelines in resolutions MEPC.212(63) and MEPC.214(63), to be used by the verifiers as well as the submitters when verifying and computing EEDI respectively.

3 In the 2012 Guidelines on survey and certification of the EEDI (resolution MEPC.214(63)), note 2 under paragraph 4.2.6 of the guidelines states: "A joint industry standard to support the method and role of the verifier will be developed." In order to meet this objective, the industry guidelines include a procedure for the review and the witnessing of the tank tests by the verifier.

4 As discussed in document MEPC 64/4/32, the annex to this document provides the first version of industry guidelines intended to be used by the relevant parties when implementing the EEDI scheme on or after 1 January 2013.

**Action requested on the Committee**

5 The Committee is invited to note the first version of industry guidelines set out in the annex to this document.

\*\*\*

**ANNEX**

**FIRST INDUSTRY GUIDELINES FOR CALCULATION AND VERIFICATION OF  
THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY DESIGN INDEX (EEDI)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	Scope of the Guidelines .....	2
2	Introduction .....	3
3	EEDI formula.....	3
4	Fuel consumption and CO <sub>2</sub> emission.....	4
5	Capacity, power and speed .....	4
6	Shaft generator and shaft motor .....	5
7	Weather factor $f_w$ .....	10
8	Correction factor for ship specific design elements $f_j$ .....	10
9	Capacity factor $f_i$ .....	11
10	Cubic capacity correction factor $f_c$ .....	11
11	Innovative energy efficient technologies .....	11
12	Example of calculation .....	11
13	Verification process .....	13
14	Documents to be submitted .....	13
15	Preliminary verification at the design stage .....	15
16	Final verification at sea trial .....	19
17	Verification of the EEDI in case of major conversion .....	21
18	Appendix 1: Review and witness points.....	22
19	Appendix 2: Sample of documents to be submitted to the verifier .....	24
20	Appendix 3: Verifying the calibration of model test equipment .....	38
21	Appendix 4: Review and witnessing of model test procedures .....	44
21	Appendix 5: Sample report "Preliminary Verification of EEDI".....	52

# Part I - Scope of the Industry Guidelines

---

## 1 SCOPE OF THE GUIDELINES

### 1.1 Objective

The objective of these Industry Guidelines for calculation and verification of the Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI), hereafter designated as "the Industry Guidelines", is to provide details and examples of calculation of attained EEDI and to support the method and role of the verifier in charge of conducting the survey and certification of EEDI in compliance with the two following IMO Guidelines:

- *2012 Guidelines on the method of calculation of EEDI for new ships*, resolution MEPC.212(63) adopted on 2 March 2012, referred to as the "IMO Calculation Guidelines" in the present document
- *2012 Guidelines on survey and certification of EEDI*, resolution MEPC.214(63) adopted on 2 March 2012, referred to as the "IMO Verification Guidelines" in the present document

In the event that the IMO Guidelines are amended, then pending amendment of these Industry Guidelines, they are to be implemented in compliance with the amended IMO Guidelines.

### 1.2 Application

These Guidelines apply to new ships as defined in Regulation 2.23 of MARPOL Annex VI of 400 gross tonnage and above. The calculation and verification of EEDI shall be performed for each:

1. new ship before ship delivery
2. new ship in service which has undergone a major conversion
3. new or existing ship which has undergone a major conversion that is so extensive that the ship is regarded by the Administration as a newly constructed ship

The Industry Guidelines shall not apply to ships which have diesel-electric propulsion, turbine propulsion or hybrid propulsion systems.

### 1.3 Limited scope of the first issue of Industry Guidelines

This issue of the Industry Guidelines only applies to the following types of ships:

- Bulk carriers
- Gas carriers
- Tankers
- Containerships
- General cargo ships
- Refrigerated cargo carriers
- Combination carriers

which are not fitted with innovative energy efficient technologies.

The first issue of this document does not consider the EEDI verification after a major conversion. Guidelines on this subject will be developed subsequent to IMO's adoption of an interpretation of the definition of major conversion.

## Part II - Explanatory notes on calculation of EEDI

### 2 INTRODUCTION

The attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) is a measure of a ship's energy efficiency determined as follows:

$$EEDI = \frac{CO_2 \text{ emission}}{\text{Transport work}}$$

The CO<sub>2</sub> emission is computed from the fuel consumption taking into account the carbon content of the fuel. The fuel consumption is based on the power used for propulsion and auxiliary power measured at defined design conditions.

The transport work is estimated by the designed ship capacity multiplied by the ship's speed measured at the maximum summer load draught and at 75 per cent of the rated installed power.

### 3 EEDI FORMULA

The EEDI is provided by the following formula:

$$\frac{(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j) \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{nME} P_{ME(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)}) + P_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} + ((\prod_{j=1}^n f_j) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{nPTI} P_{PTI(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{nEFF} f_{EFF(i)} \cdot P_{AE \cdot EFF(i)}) \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} - \sum_{i=1}^{nEFF} f_{EFF(i)} \cdot P_{EFF(i)} \cdot C_{FME} \cdot SFC_{ME}}{f_i \cdot f_e \cdot \text{Capacity} \cdot f_w \cdot V_{ref}}$$

With the following Notes:

The global  $f_i$  factor may also be written:

$$f_i = (\prod_{i=1}^n f_i)$$

where each individual  $f_i$  factor is explained under section 9 of this document.

If part of the normal maximum sea load is provided by shaft generators, the term

$$P_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} \text{ may be replaced by: } (P_{AE} - 0.75 * \sum_{i=1}^{nPTO} P_{PTO(i)}) \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} + 0.75 * \sum_{i=1}^{nPTO} P_{PTO(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)}$$

with the condition  $0.75 * \sum_{i=1}^{nPTO} P_{PTO(i)} \leq P_{AE}$

Where the total propulsion power is limited by verified technical means as indicated under section 6, the term  $(\sum_{i=1}^{nME} P_{ME(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{nPTI} P_{PTI(i)} \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE})$  is to be replaced by 75 percent of the limited total propulsion power multiplied by the average weighted value of  $(SFC_{ME} \cdot C_{FME})$  and  $(SFC_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE})$

Due to the uncertainties in the estimation of the different parameters, the accuracy of the calculation of the attained EEDI cannot be better than 1%.

Therefore, the values of attained and required EEDI have to be reported with no more than three significant figures (for instance, 2.23 or 10.3) and the checking of Regulation 20, chapter 4 of MARPOL Annex VI has to be verified in accordance with this accuracy.

## 4 FUEL CONSUMPTION AND CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSION

The conversion factor  $C_F$  and the specific fuel consumption, SFC, are determined from the results recorded in the parent engine Technical File as defined in paragraph 1.3.15 of the NOx Technical Code 2008.

The fuel grade used during the test of the engine in the test bed measurement of SFC determines the value of the  $C_F$  conversion factor according to the table under 2.1 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

SFC is the corrected specific fuel consumption, measured in g/kWh, of the engines. The subscripts ME(i) and AE(i) refer to the main and auxiliary engine(s), respectively.  $SFC_{AE}$  is the power-weighted average among  $SFC_{AE(i)}$  of the respective engines  $i$ .

For main engines certified to the E2 or E3 test cycles of the NOx Technical Code 2008, the engine Specific Fuel Consumption ( $SFC_{ME(i)}$ ) is that recorded in the test report included in a NOx Technical File for the parent engine(s) at 75% of MCR power.

For engines certified to the D2 or C1 test cycles of the NOx Technical Code 2008, the engine Specific Fuel Consumption ( $SFC_{AE(i)}$ ) is that recorded in the test report included in a NOx Technical File for the parent engine(s) at 50% of MCR power or torque rating.

The SFC should be corrected to the value corresponding to the ISO standard reference conditions using the standard lower calorific value of the fuel oil (42,700kJ/kg), referring to ISO 15550:2002 and ISO 3046-1:2002.

For LNG driven engines for which SFC is measured in kJ/kWh, the SFC value should be converted to g/kWh using the standard lower calorific value of the LNG (48,000 kJ/kg), referring to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

For those engines which do not have a test report included in a NOx Technical File because its power is below 130 kW, the SFC specified by the manufacturer should be used.

At the design stage, in case of unavailability of test reports in the NOx Technical File, the SFC value given by the manufacturer with the addition of the guarantee tolerance should be used.

## 5 CAPACITY, POWER AND SPEED

### 5.1 Capacity

The capacity of the ship is computed as a function of the deadweight as indicated under 2.3 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

For the computation of the deadweight according to 2.4 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines, the lightweight of the ship and the displacement at the summer load draught are to be based on the results of the inclining test or lightweight check provided in the final stability booklet. At the design stage, the deadweight may be taken in the provisional documentation.

### 5.2 Power

The installed power for EEDI determination is taking into account the propulsion power and in general a fixed part of the auxiliary power, measured at the output of the main or auxiliary engine.

The total propulsion power is defined as 75% MCR of all main engines.

The total shaft propulsion power (power delivered to propellers  $P_S$ ) is conventionally taken as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_{ME}} P_{ME(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{PTI}} (P_{PTI(i)} \cdot \eta_{PTI(i)}) \cdot \eta_{GEn}$$

In this formula:

- The value of  $P_{ME(i)}$  may be limited by verified technical means (see 6 below)
- The total shaft propulsion power may be limited by verified technical means. In particular an electronic engine control system may limit the total propulsion power, whatever the number of engines in function (see 6 below)

The auxiliary power can be nominally defined as a specified proportion of main engine power aiming to cover normal maximum sea load for propulsion and accommodation<sup>1</sup>. The nominal values are 2.5% of main engine power plus 250 kW for installed main engine power equal to or above 10 MW. 5% of  $P_{ME}$  will be accounted if less than 10 MW main engine power is installed. Alternatively, as explained below, the value for auxiliary power can be taken from the power balance table for the ship.

In addition, if shaft motors are installed, then in principle 75% of the shaft motor power is accounted for in the EEDI calculation. Detailed explanation about this is given in section 6.

For a ship where the  $P_{AE}$  value calculated by paragraph 2.5.6.1 or 2.5.6.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines is significantly different from the total power used at normal seagoing operations, as an option if the difference leads to a variation of the computed value of the EEDI exceeding 1%, the  $P_{AE}$  value could be estimated by the electric power (excluding propulsion) in conditions when the ship is engaged in a voyage at reference speed ( $V_{ref}$ ) as given in the electric power table (EPT), divided by the average efficiency of the generator(s) weighted by power.

### 5.3 Speed $V_{ref}$

The speed  $V_{ref}$  is the ship speed, measured in knots, verified during sea trials and corrected to be given in the following conditions:

- in deep water
- assuming the weather is calm with no wind, no current and no waves
- in the loading condition corresponding to the Capacity
- at the total shaft propulsion power defined in 5.2 taking into account shaft generators and shaft motors

## 6 SHAFT GENERATOR AND SHAFT MOTOR

### 6.1 Introduction and background

Ships need electrical power for the operation of engine auxiliary systems, other systems, crew accommodation and for any cargo purposes. This electrical power can be generated by diesel-generator sets (gen-sets), shaft generators, waste heat recovery systems driving a generator and possibly by new innovative technologies, e.g. solar panels. Diesel-generator sets and shaft generators are the most common systems. While diesel-generator sets use a diesel engine powering a generator, a shaft generator is driven by the main engine. It is considered that due to the better efficiency of the main engine and efficiency of the shaft generator less  $CO_2$  is emitted compared to gen-set operation.

<sup>1</sup> By paragraph 2.5.6.1 or 2.5.6.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

The EEDI formula expresses the propulsion power of a vessel as 75% of the main engine power  $P_{ME}$ . It is also termed shaft power  $P_S$ , which corresponds to the ship's speed  $V_{ref}$  in the EEDI formula.

$P_{AE}$  - the auxiliary power - is also included in the EEDI formula. However, this power demand is largely dependent on loading and trading patterns and it must also incorporate safety aspects, for example, the provision of a spare generator set. As noted in section 5, the auxiliary power can generally be taken into account as a fixed proportion of the main engine power (i.e. nominally 2.5% plus 250kW)<sup>2</sup>.

The use of shaft generators is a well proven and often applied technology, particularly for high electrical power demands related to the payload e.g. reefer containers. Usually a ship design implements a main engine to reach the envisaged speed with some provision of sea margin. For the use of a shaft generator past practice and understanding was to install a bigger main engine to reach the same speed compared to the design without a shaft generator and to then have the excess power available from the main engine at any time for generation of electrical power. As a rule of thumb, one more cylinder was added to the main engine to cover this additional power demand.

The difficulty with this issue for calculation of the EEDI is that the excess power could be used to move the ship faster in the case where the shaft generator is not in use which would produce a distortion between ship designs which are otherwise the same.

The IMO Calculation Guidelines take these circumstances into account and offer options for the use of shaft generators. These options are described in detail, below.

Further, electric shaft motors operate similarly to shaft generators; sometimes a shaft generator can act as a shaft motor. The possible influence of shaft motors has also been taken into account in the IMO Calculation Guidelines and is also illustrated, below.

## 6.2 Main engine power without shaft generators

The main engines are solely used for the ship's propulsion. For the purpose of the EEDI, the main engine power is 75 % of the rated installed power  $MCR_{ME}$  for each main engine:

$$P_{ME(i)} = 0.75 \times MCR_{ME(i)}$$

## 6.3 Main engine power with shaft generators

Shaft generators produce electric power using power from the prime mover (main engine). Therefore the power used for the shaft generator is not available for the propulsion. Hence  $MCR_{ME}$  is the sum of the power needed for propulsion and the power needed for the shaft generator. Thus at least a part of the shaft generator's power should be deductible from the main engine power ( $P_{ME}$ ).

The power driving the shaft generator is not only deducted in the calculation. As this power is not available for propulsion this yields a reduced reference speed. The speed should be determined from the power curve obtained at the sea trial as explained in the schematic figure provided in paragraph 2.5 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

It has been defined that 75% of the main engine power is entered in the EEDI calculation. To induce no confusion in the calculation framework, it has therefore also been defined to take into account 75% of the shaft power take off / take in (as electrical power [kW] as displayed on the name plate of the shaft generator/motor).

---

<sup>2</sup> c.f.: precise instruction in IMO Calculation Guidelines.



For the calculation of the effect of shaft generators, two options are available.

### 6.3.1 Option 1

For this option,  $P_{PTO(i)}$  is defined as 75% of the rated electrical output power  $MCR_{PTO}$  of each shaft generator. The maximum allowable deduction is limited by the auxiliary power  $P_{AE}$  as described in Paragraph 2.6 in the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

Then the main engine power  $P_{ME}$  is:

$$P_{PTO(i)} = 0.75 \times MCR_{PTO(i)}$$

$$\sum P_{ME(i)} = 0.75 \times \sum (MCR_{ME(i)} - P_{PTO(i)}) \text{ with } 0.75 \times \sum P_{PTO(i)} \leq P_{AE}$$

This means, that only the maximum amount of shaft generator power that is equal to  $P_{AE}$  is deductible from the main engine power. In doing so, 75% of the shaft generator power must be greater than the auxiliary power calculated in accordance to Para. 2.6. of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

Higher shaft generators output than  $P_{AE}$  will not be accounted for under option 1.

### 6.3.2 Option 2

The main engine power  $P_{ME}$  to be considered for the calculation of the EEDI is defined as 75% of the power to which the propulsion system is limited. This can be achieved by any verified technical means, e.g. by electronic engine controls.

$$P_{ME(i)} = 0.75 \times P_{Shaft,limit}$$

This option is to cover designs with the need for very high power requirements (e.g., pertaining to the cargo). With this option it is ensured that the higher main engine power cannot be used for a higher ship speed. This can be safeguarded by the use of verified technical devices limiting the power to the propulsor.

For example, consider a ship having a 15 MW main engine with a 3 MW shaft generator. The shaft limit is verified to 12 MW. The EEDI is then calculated with only 75% of 12 MW as main engine power as, in any case of operation, no more power than 12 MW can be delivered to the propulsor, irrespective of whether a shaft generator is in use or not.

It should be noted that the guidelines do not stipulate any limits as to the value of the shaft limit in relation to main engine power or shaft generator power.

### 6.3.3 The use of specific fuel oil consumption and $C_F$ -factor

Shaft generators are driven by the main engine, therefore the specific fuel oil consumption of the main engine is allowed to be used to the full extent if 75% of the shaft generator power is equal to  $P_{AE}$ .

In the case shaft generator power is less than  $P_{AE}$  then 75% of the shaft generator power is calculated with the main engine's specific fuel oil consumption and the remaining part of the total  $P_{AE}$  power is calculated with SFC of the auxiliaries ( $SFC_{AE}$ ).

The same applies to the conversion factor  $C_F$ , if different fuels are used in the EEDI calculation.

## 6.4 Total shaft power with shaft motors

In the case where shaft motor(s) are installed, the same guiding principles as explained for shaft generators, above, apply. But in contrast to shaft generators, motors do increase the total power to the propulsor and do increase ships' speed and therefore must be included in

the total shaft power within the EEDI calculation. The total shaft power is thus main engine(s) power plus the additional shaft motor(s) power:

$$\sum P_{ME(i)} + \sum P_{PTI(i),Shaft}$$

Where:

$$\sum P_{PTI(i),Shaft} = \sum (0.75 \cdot P_{SM,max(i)} \cdot \eta_{PTI(i)})$$

Similar to the shaft generators, only 75% of the rated power consumption  $P_{SM,max}$  (i.e. rated motor output divided by the motor efficiency) of each shaft motor divided by the weighted average efficiency of the generator(s)  $\eta_{Gen}$  is taken into account for EEDI calculation.<sup>3</sup>

$$\sum P_{PTI(i)} = \frac{\sum (0.75 \cdot P_{SM,max(i)})}{\eta_{Gen}}$$

A power limitation similar to that described above for shaft generators can also be used for shaft motors. So if a verified technical measure is in place to limit the propulsion output, only 75% of limited power is to be used for EEDI calculation and also for that limited power  $V_{ref}$  is determined.

A diagram is inserted to highlight where the mechanical and electrical efficiencies or the related devices ( PTI and Generator's) are located:




Figure 1: Typical arrangement of propulsion and electric power system

<sup>3</sup> The efficiency of shaft generators in the previous section has consciously not been taken into account in the denominator as inefficient generator(s) would increase the deductible power.

## 6.5 Calculation examples

For these calculation examples the ships' following main parameters are set as:

$$\begin{aligned} MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\ Capacity &= 20,000 \text{ DWT} \\ C_{F,ME} &= 3.206 \\ C_{F,AE} &= 3.206 \\ SFC_{ME} &= 190 \text{ g/kWh} \\ SFC_{AE} &= 215 \text{ g/kWh} \\ v_{ref} &= 20 \text{ kn (without shaft generator/motor)} \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.1 One main engine, no shaft generator

$$\begin{aligned} MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\ P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times MCR_{ME} = 0.75 \times 20,000 \text{ kW} = 15,000 \text{ kW} \\ P_{AE} &= (0.025 \times 20,000) + 250 \text{ kW} = 750 \text{ kW} \\ EEDI &= ((15,000 \times 3.206 \times 190) + (750 \times 3.206 \times 215)) / (20 \times 20,000) \\ &= 24.1 \text{ g CO}_2 / t \text{ nm} \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.2 One main engine, 0.75 x P<sub>PTO</sub> < P<sub>AE</sub>, option 1

$$\begin{aligned} MCR_{PTO} &= 500 \text{ kW} \\ P_{PTO} &= 500 \text{ kW} \times 0.75 = 375 \text{ kW} \\ MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\ P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times (MCR_{ME} - P_{PTO}) = 0.75 \times (20,000 \text{ kW} - 375 \text{ kW}) = 14,719 \text{ kW} \\ P_{AE} &= (0.025 \times MCR_{ME}) + 250 \text{ kW} = 750 \text{ kW} \\ v_{ref} &= 19.89 \text{ kn: The speed at } P_{ME} \text{ determined from the power curve} \\ EEDI &= ((P_{ME} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME}) + (0.75 \times P_{PTO} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME}) + ((P_{AE} - 0.75 \times P_{PTO}) \times C_{F,AE} \times SFC_{AE})) / (DWT \times v_{ref}) \\ &= 23.8 \text{ g CO}_2 / t \text{ nm} \approx 1\% \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.3 One main engine, 0.75 x P<sub>PTO</sub> = P<sub>AE</sub>, option 1

$$\begin{aligned} MCR_{PTO} &= 1,333 \text{ kW} \\ P_{PTO} &= 1,333 \text{ kW} \times 0.75 = 1,000 \text{ kW} \\ MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\ P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times (MCR_{ME} - P_{PTO}) = 0.75 \times (20,000 \text{ kW} - 1,000 \text{ kW}) = 14,250 \text{ kW} \\ P_{AE} &= (0.025 \times MCR_{ME}) + 250 \text{ kW} = 750 \text{ kW} \\ v_{ref} &= 19.71 \text{ kn: The speed at } P_{ME} \text{ determined from the power curve} \\ EEDI &= ((P_{ME} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME}) + (0.75 \times P_{PTO} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME})) / (DWT \times v_{ref}) \\ &= 23.2 \text{ g CO}_2 / t \text{ nm} \approx 4\% \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.4 One main engine with shaft generator, 0.75 x P<sub>PTO</sub> > P<sub>AE</sub>, option 1

$$\begin{aligned} MCR_{PTO} &= 2,000 \text{ kW} \\ 0.75 \times P_{PTO} &= 0.75 \times 2,000 \text{ kW} \times 0.75 = 1,125 \text{ kW} > P_{AE} \Rightarrow P_{PTO} = P_{AE} / 0.75 = 1,000 \text{ kW} \\ MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\ P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times (MCR_{ME} - P_{PTO}) = 0.75 \times (20,000 \text{ kW} - 1,000 \text{ kW}) = 14,250 \text{ kW} \\ P_{AE} &= (0.025 \times MCR_{ME}) + 250 \text{ kW} = 750 \text{ kW} \\ v_{ref} &= 19.71 \text{ kn: The speed at } P_{ME} \text{ determined from the power curve} \\ EEDI &= ((P_{ME} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME}) + (0.75 \times P_{PTO} \times C_{F,ME} \times SCF_{ME})) / (DWT \times v_{ref}) \\ &= 23.2 \text{ g CO}_2 / t \text{ nm} \approx 4\% \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.5 One main engine with shaft generator, $0.75 \times P_{PTO} > P_{AE}$ , option 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 MCR_{PTO} &= 2,000 \text{ kW} \\
 MCR_{ME} &= 20,000 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{Shaft,limit} &= 18,000 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times (P_{Shaft,limit}) = 0.75 \times (18,000 \text{ kW}) = 13,500 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{AE} &= (0.025 \times MCR_{ME}) + 250 \text{ kW} = 750 \text{ kW} \\
 v_{ref} &= 19.41 \text{ kn: The speed at } P_{ME} \text{ determined from the power curve} \\
 EEDI &= \left( (P_{ME} \times C_{F,ME} \times SFC_{ME}) + (P_{AE} \times C_{F,ME} \times SFC_{ME}) \right) / (DWT \times v_{ref}) \\
 &= 22.4 \text{ g CO}_2 / \text{t nm} \quad \approx 7\%
 \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.6 One main engine, one shaft motor

$$\begin{aligned}
 MCR_{ME} &= 18,000 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{ME} &= 0.75 \times MCR_{ME} = 0.75 \times 18,000 \text{ kW} = 13,500 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{AE} &= \left\{ 0.025 \times \left( MCR_{ME} + \frac{P_{PTI}}{0.75} \right) \right\} + 250 \text{ kW} = \left\{ 0.025 \times \left( 18,000 + \frac{1612.9}{0.75} \right) \right\} + 250 \text{ kW} = 754 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{SM,max} &= 2,000 \text{ kW} \\
 P_{PTI} &= 0.75 \times P_{SM,max} / \eta_{Gen} = 1,612.9 \text{ kW} \\
 \eta_{PTI} &= 0.97 \\
 \eta_{Gen} &= 0.93 \\
 P_{Shaft} &= P_{ME} + P_{PTI,Shaft} = P_{ME} + (P_{PTI} \cdot \eta_{PTI}) \cdot \eta_{Gen} = 13,500 \text{ kW} + (1612.9 \cdot 0.97) \cdot 0.93 = 14,955 \text{ kW} \\
 v_{ref} &= 20 \text{ kn} \\
 EEDI &= \left( (P_{ME} \times C_{F,ME} \times SFC_{ME}) + (P_{AE} \times C_{F,AE} \times SFC_{AE}) + (P_{PTI} \times C_{F,AE} \times SFC_{AE}) \right) / (DWT \times v_{ref}) \\
 &= 24.6 \text{ g CO}_2 / \text{t nm} \quad \approx -2\%
 \end{aligned}$$

## 7 WEATHER FACTOR $f_w$

$f_w$  is a non-dimensional coefficient indicating the decrease of speed in representative sea conditions of wave height, wave frequency and wind speed (e.g. Beaufort Scale 6), and is taken as 1.0 for the calculation of attained EEDI.

When a calculated  $f_w$  is used, the attained EEDI using calculated  $f_w$  shall be presented as "attained EEDI<sub>weather</sub>" in order to clearly distinguish it from the attained EEDI under regulations 20 and 21 in MARPOL Annex VI.

Guidelines for the calculation of the coefficient  $f_w$  for the decrease of ship speed in respective sea conditions will be developed.

## 8 CORRECTION FACTOR FOR SHIP SPECIFIC DESIGN ELEMENTS $f_j$

Except in the cases listed below, the value of the  $f_j$  factor is 1.0.

For Finnish-Swedish ice class notations or equivalent notations of the Classification Societies, the  $f_j$  correction factor is indicated in Table 1 under 2.8.1 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Tables 1 and 2 in IMO Calculation Guidelines refer to Finnish/Swedish ice classed ships usually trading in the Baltic Sea. Justified alternative values for  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  factors may be accepted for ice-classed ships outside this scope of application (e.g. very large ships or POLAR CLASS).

For shuttle tankers with propulsion redundancy defined as oil tankers between 80,000 and 160,000 deadweight equipped with dual-engines and twin-propellers and assigned the class notations covering dynamic positioning and propulsion redundancy, the  $f_j$  factor should be 0.77.

The total shaft propulsion power of shuttle tankers with redundancy is usually not limited by verified technical means.

## **9 CAPACITY FACTOR $f_i$**

Except in the cases listed below, the value of the  $f_i$  factor is 1.0.

For Finnish-Swedish ice class notations or equivalent notations of the Classification Societies, the  $f_i$  correction factor is indicated in Table 2 under 2.11.1 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.<sup>4</sup>

For a ship with voluntary structural enhancement, the  $f_{IVSE}$  factor is to be computed according to 2.11.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

For bulk carriers and oil tankers built in accordance with the Common Structural Rules and assigned the class notation CSR, the  $f_{ICSR}$  factor is to be computed according to 2.11.3 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

$f_i$  capacity factors can be cumulated (multiplied), but the reference design for calculation of  $f_{IVSE}$  should comply with the ice notation and/or Common Structural Rules as the case may be.

## **10 CUBIC CAPACITY CORRECTION FACTOR $f_c$**

Except in the cases listed below, the value of the  $f_c$  factor is 1.0.

For chemical tankers as defined in regulation 1.16.1 of MARPOL Annex II, the  $f_c$  factor is to be computed according to 2.12.1 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

For gas carriers as defined in regulation 1.1 of IGC Code having direct diesel driven propulsion, the  $f_c$  factor is to be computed according to 2.12.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines.

## **11 INNOVATIVE ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGIES**

Innovative energy efficient technologies are not taken into account in the first version of this document (see 1.3)

## **12 EXAMPLE OF CALCULATION**

### **12.1 List of input parameters for calculation of EEDI**

The input parameters used in the calculation of the EEDI are provided in Table 1.

The values of all these parameters are to be indicated in the EEDI Technical File and the documents listed in the "source" column should be submitted to the verifier.

**Table 1: input parameters for calculation of EEDI**

Symbol	Name	Usage	Source	Scope
	Service notation	Capacity, $f_i$ , $f_j$ and $f_c$ factors		For the ship
	Class notations	$f_j$ for shuttle tanker, $f_{iCSR}$	Classification file	
	Ice notation	$f_i$ , $f_j$ for ice class		
L <sub>pp</sub>	Length between perpendiculars (m)	$f_i$ , $f_j$ for ice class		
$\Delta$	Displacement @ summer load draught (t)	deadweight	final stability file	
LWT	Lighthouse weight (t)	deadweight, $f_{iVSE}$ , $f_{iCSR}$ , $f_c$	Sheets of Submitter calculation for lightweight <sub>reference design</sub> lightweight check report	
P <sub>AE</sub>	Auxiliary engine power (kW)	EEDI	Note: Computed from engines & PTIs powers or electric power table	
V <sub>ref</sub>	Reference speed (knot)	EEDI	Sea trial report	
Cube	Total cubic capacity of the cargo tanks (m <sup>3</sup> )	$f_c$ for chemical tankers and gas carriers	Tonnage file	Per engine (n <sub>ME</sub> + n <sub>GEN</sub> )
MCR	Rated installed power (kW)	power	EIAPP certificate or nameplate (if less than 130 kW)	
MCR <sub>lim</sub>	Limited rated output power after PTO in (kW)	P <sub>ME</sub> with PTO option 2	Verification file	
	Fuel grade	C <sub>F</sub> , SFC	NOX Technical File of the parent engine	
SFC	Corrected specific fuel consumption (g/kWh)	EEDI	NOx Technical File of the parent engine	Per shaft generator (n <sub>PTO</sub> )
MCR <sub>PTO</sub>	Rated electrical output power (kW)	P <sub>ME</sub>		
P <sub>SM,max</sub>	Rated power consumption (kW)	EEDI		Per shaft motor (n <sub>PTI</sub> )
$\eta_{PTI}$	efficiency	power		
$\eta_{GEN}$	efficiency	power		Per generator (n <sub>GEN</sub> )
P <sub>SHAFTlim</sub>	Limited shaft propulsion power (kW)	Limited power where means of limitation are fitted	Verification file	Per shaftline (n <sub>SHAFT</sub> )

## 12.2 Sample calculation of EEDI

A sample calculation of EEDI is provided in appendix 2.

## Part III - Verification of EEDI

### 13 VERIFICATION PROCESS

Attained EEDI should be computed in accordance with the IMO Calculation Guidelines and Part II of the present Industry Guidelines. Survey and certification of the EEDI should be conducted on two stages:

1. preliminary verification at the design stage
2. final verification at the sea trial

The flow of the survey and certification process is presented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Flow of survey and certification process by verifier

### 14 DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED

A sample of document to be submitted to the verifier including additional information for verification is provided in appendix 2.

The following information should be submitted by the submitter to the verifier at the design stage:

**Table 2: documents to be submitted at the design stage**

EEDI Technical File	EEDI Technical File as defined in the IMO Verification Guidelines. See example of the EEDI Technical File in Appendix 1 of IMO Verification Guidelines.
NOx Technical File	Copy of the NOx Technical File and documented summary of the SFC correction for each type of main and auxiliary engine with copy of EIAPP certificate. Note: if the NOx Technical File has not been approved at the time of the preliminary verification, the SFC value with the addition of the guarantee tolerance is to be provided by Manufacturer. In this case, the NOx Technical File should be submitted at the final verification stage.
Electric Power Table	If $P_{AE}$ is significantly different from the values computed using the formula in 2.5.6.1 or 2.5.6.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines
Ship lines and model particulars	- Lines of ship - Report including the particulars of the ship model and propeller model
Verification file of power limitation technical arrangement	If the propulsion power is voluntarily limited by verified technical means
Power curves	Power-speed curves predicted at full scale in sea trial condition and EEDI condition
Description of the tank test facility and tank test organisation quality manual	If the verifier has no recent experience with the tank test facility and the tank test organization quality system is not ISO 9001 certified. - Quality management system of the tank test including process control, justifications concerning repeatability and quality management processes - Records of measuring equipment calibration as described in Appendix 3 - Standard model-ship extrapolation and correlation method (applied method and tests description)
Gas fuel oil general arrangement plan	If gas fuel is used as the primary fuel of the ship fitted with dual fuel engines. Gas fuel storage tanks (with capacities) and bunkering facilities are to be described.
Tank Tests Plan	Plan explaining the different steps of the tank tests and the scheduled inspections allowing the verifier to check compliance with the items listed in Appendix 1 concerning tank tests
Tank Tests Report	- Report of the results of the tank tests at sea trial and EEDI condition as required in Appendix 4 - Values of the experience-based parameters defined in the standard model-ship correlation method used by the tank test organization/shipyard - Reasons for exempting a tank test, only if applicable - Numerical calculations report and validation file of these calculations, only if calculations are used to derive power curves
Ship reference speed $V_{ref}$	Detailed calculation process of the ship speed, which should include the estimation basis of experience-based parameters such as roughness coefficient, wake scaling coefficient

The following information is to be submitted by the submitter to the verifier at the final verification stage (and before the sea trials for the programme of sea trials):

**Table 3: documents to be submitted at the final verification stage**

Programme of sea trials	Description of the test procedure to be used for the speed trial, with number of speed points to be measured and indication of PTO/PTI to be in operation, if any.
Sea trials report	Report of sea trials with detailed computation of the corrections allowing determination of the reference speed $V_{ref}$
Final stability file	Final stability file including lightweight of the ship and displacement table based on the results of the inclining test or the lightweight check
Final power curves	Final power curve in the EEDI condition showing the speed adjustment methodology
Revised EEDI Technical File	Including identification of the parameters differing from the calculation performed at the initial verification stage
Ship lines	Lines of actual ship



In line with the IMO Verification Guidelines (4.1.2), it is recognized that the documents listed above may contain confidential information of submitters, which requires Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) protection. In the case where the submitter wants a non-disclosure agreement with the verifier, the additional information should be provided to the verifier upon mutually agreed terms and conditions.

## 15 PRELIMINARY VERIFICATION AT THE DESIGN STAGE

### 15.1 Scope of the verifier work

For the preliminary verification of the EEDI at the design stage, the verifier:

- Review the EEDI Technical File, check that all the input parameters (see 12.1 above) are documented and justified and check that the possible omission of a tank test has been properly justified.
- Check that the ITTC procedures and quality system are implemented by the organization conducting the tank tests. The verifier should possibly audit the quality management system of the towing tank if previous experience is insufficiently demonstrated.
- Witness the tank tests according to a test plan initially agreed between the submitter and the verifier.
- Check that the work done by the tank test organisation is consistent with the present Guidelines. In particular, the verifier will check that the power curves at full scale are determined in a consistent way between sea trials and EEDI loading conditions, applying the same calculation process of the power curves and considering justifiable differences of experience based parameters between the two conditions.
- Issue a pre-verification report.

### 15.2 Definitions

*Experience-based parameters* means parameters used in the determination of the scale effects coefficients of correlation between the towing tank model scale results and the full scale predictions of power curves.

This may include:

1. Hull roughness correction
2. Wake correction factor
3. Air resistance correction factor (due to superstructures and deck load)
4. Appendages correction factor (for appendages not present at model scale)
5. Propeller cavitation correction factor
6. Propeller open-water characteristics correction
7.  $C_P$  and  $C_N$  (see below)
8.  $\Delta C_{FC}$  and  $\Delta w_C$  (see below)

*Ship of the same type* means a ship of which hull form (expressed in the lines such as sheer plan and body plan) excluding additional hull features such as fins and of which principal particulars are identical to that of the base ship.

Definition of survey methods directly involving the verifier: Review and Witness.

*Review* means the act of examining documents in order to determine identification and traceability and to confirm that requested information are present and that EEDI calculation process conforms to relevant requirements.

*Witness* means the attendance at scheduled key steps of the model tank tests in accordance with the agreed Test Plan to the extent necessary to check compliance with the survey and certification requirements.

### **15.3 Tank tests and numerical calculations**

There are two loading conditions to be taken into account for EEDI: EEDI loading condition and sea trial condition.

The speed power curves for these two loading conditions are to be based on tank test measurements. Tank test means model towing tests, model self-propulsion tests and model propeller open water tests.

Numerical calculations may be accepted as equivalent to model propeller open water tests.

A tank test for an individual ship may be omitted based on technical justifications such as availability of the results of tank tests for ships of the same type according to 4.2.5 of the IMO Verification Guidelines.

Numerical calculations may be submitted to justify derivation of speed power curves, where only one parent hull form have been verified with tank tests, in order to evaluate the effect of additional hull features such as fore bulb variations, fins and hydrodynamic energy saving devices.

These numerical tests may include CFD calculation of propulsive efficiency at reference speed  $V_{ref}$  as well as hull resistance variations and propeller open water efficiency.

In order to be accepted, these numerical tests should be carried out in accordance with defined quality and technical standards (ITTC 7.5-03-01-04 at its latest revision or equivalent). The comparison of the CFD-computed values of the unmodified parent hull form with the results of the tank tests must be submitted for review.

### **15.4 Qualification of verifier personnel**

Surveyors of the verifier are to confirm through review and witness as defined in 15.2 that the calculation of EEDI is performed according to the relevant requirements listed in 1.1. The surveyors are to be qualified to be able to carry out these tasks and procedures are to be in place to ensure that their activities are monitored.

### **15.5 Review of the tank test organisation quality system**

The verifier is to familiarize with the tank test organization test facilities, measuring equipment and quality system for consideration of complying with the requirements of 15.6 prior to the test attendance when the verifier has no recent experience of the tank test facilities and the tank test organization quality control system is not certified according to a recognized scheme (ISO 9001 or equivalent).

In this case, the following additional information relative to the tank test organization is to be submitted to the verifier:

1. descriptions of the tank test facility; this should include the name of the facility, the particulars of tanks and towing equipment, and the records of calibration of each monitoring equipment as described in appendix 3;
2. quality manual containing at least the information listed in the ITTC Sample quality manual (2002 issue) Records of measuring equipment calibration as described in appendix 3;
3. standard model-ship extrapolation and correlation method (applied method and tests description).

## 15.6 Review and Witness

The verifier should review the EEDI Technical File, using also the other documents listed in table 2 and submitted for information in order to verify the calculation of EEDI at design stage. This review activity is described in Appendix 1. Since detailed process of the tank tests depends on the practice of each submitter, sufficient information should be included in the document submitted to the verifier to show that the principal scheme of the tank test process meets the requirements of the reference documents listed in Appendix 1 and Appendix 4.

Prior to the start of the tank tests, the submitter should submit a test plan to the verifier. The verifier should review the test plan and agree with the submitter which scheduled inspections will be performed with the verifier surveyor in attendance in order to perform the verifications listed in Appendix 1 concerning the tank tests.

Following the indications of the agreed test plan, the submitter will notify the verifier for the agreed tests to be witnessed. The submitter will advise the verifier of any changes to the activities agreed in the Test Plan and provide the submitter with the tank test report and results of trial speed prediction.

## 15.7 Model-ship correlation

Model-ship correlation method followed by the tank test organization or shipyard should be properly documented with reference to the 1978 ITTC Trial prediction method given in ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-03-1.4 rev.02 of 2011 or subsequent revision, mentioning the differences between the followed method and the 1978 ITTC trial prediction method and their global equivalence.

Considering the formula giving the total full scale resistance coefficient of the ship with bilge keels and other appendages:

$$C_{TS} = \frac{S_S + S_{BK}}{S_S} \cdot [(1 + k) \cdot C_{FS} + \Delta C_F + C_A] + C_R + C_{AAS} + C_{AppS}$$

The way of calculating the form factor  $k$ , the roughness allowance  $\Delta C_F$ , the correlation allowance  $C_A$ , the air resistance coefficient  $C_{AAS}$  and the appendages coefficient  $C_{AppS}$  should be documented (if they are taken as 0, this should be indicated also), as indicated in Appendix 4.

The correlation method used should be based on thrust identity and the correlation factors should be according to method 1 ( $C_P - C_N$ ) or method 2 ( $\Delta C_{FC} - \Delta w_C$ ) of the 1978 ITTC Trial prediction method. If the standard method used by the tank test organization doesn't fulfil these conditions, an additional analysis based on thrust identity should be submitted to the verifier.

The verifier will check that the power-speed curves obtained for the EEDI condition and sea trial condition are obtained using the same calculation process and properly documented as requested in Appendix 4 "Witnessing of model test procedures". In particular, the verifier will compare the differences between experience based coefficients  $C_p$  and  $\Delta C_{FC}$  between the EEDI condition ( $\nabla_{full}$ ) and sea trial condition if different from EEDI condition ( $\nabla$ ) with the indications given in Figures 3.1 and 3.2 extracted from a SAJ-ITTC study on a large number of oil tankers. If the difference is significantly higher than the values reported in the Figures, a proper justification of the values should be submitted to the verifier.




Figure 3.1: Variation of  $C_p - C_{p_{Full}}$  as a function of the displacement ratio




Figure 3.2: Variation of  $\Delta C_{FC}$  as a function of the displacement ratio

### 15.8 Pre-verification report

The verifier should issue the report on the "Preliminary Verification of EEDI" after it has verified the attained EEDI at the design stage in accordance with paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of the IMO Verification Guidelines.

A sample of the report on the "Preliminary Verification of EEDI" is provided in appendix 5.

## 16 FINAL VERIFICATION AT SEA TRIAL

### 16.1 Sea trial procedure

For the verification of the EEDI at sea trial stage, the verifier shall:

- examine the programme of the sea trial to check that the test procedure and in particular that the number of speed measurement points comply with the requirements of the IMO Verification Guidelines;
- perform a survey to ascertain the machinery characteristics of some important electric load consumers and producers included in the EPT, if the power  $P_{AE}$  is directly computed from the EPT data;
- attend the sea trial and notes the main parameters to be used for the final calculation of the EEDI, as given under 4.3.3 of the IMO Verification Guidelines;
- review the sea trial report provided by the submitter and check that the measured power and speed have been corrected according to ISO 15016:2002 or the equivalent (see note);
- check that the power curve estimated for EEDI condition further to sea trial is obtained by power adjustment;
- review the revised EEDI Technical File;
- issue or endorse the International Energy Efficiency Certificate.

Note: For application of the present Guidelines the following procedures are considered wholly or partly (according to their scope) equivalent to ISO 15016:2002:

1. ITTC 7.5-04-01-01.2 analysis of speed/power trial data as amended (last issue)
2. BSRA Standard method of speed trials analysis – BSRA report 486 / 1976

Table 5 lists the data which are to be measured and recorded during sea trials:

**Table 5: Measured data during sea trials**

Symbol	Name	Measurement	Remark
	Time and duration of sea trial		
	Draft marks readings		
	Air and sea temperature		
	Main engine setting	Machinery log	
$\Psi_0$	Course direction (rad)	Compass	
$V_G$	Speed over ground (m/s)	GPS	
n	Propeller rpm (rpm)	Tachometer	
$P_S$	Power measured (kW)	Torsion meter or strain gauges (for torque measurement) or any alternative method that offer an equivalent level of precision and accuracy of power measurement	
$V_{WR}$	Relative wind velocity (m/s)	Wind indicator	
$\Psi_{WR}$	Relative wind direction (rad)	See above	
$T_m$	Mean wave period (seas and swell) (s)	Visual observation by multiple observers supplemented by hindcast data or wave measuring devices (wave buoy, wave radar, etc.)	
$H_{1/3}$	Significant wave height (seas and swell) (m)	See above	
$\chi$	Incident angle of waves (seas and swell) (rad)	See above	
$\delta_R$	Rudder angle (rad)	Rudder	
$\beta$	Drift angle (rad)	GPS	

Prior to the sea trial, the programme of the sea trials and , if available, additional documents listed in table 3 should be submitted to the verifier in order for the verifier to check the procedure and to attend the sea trial and perform the verifications included in appendix 1 concerning the sea trial.

The ship speed is to be measured at sea trial for at least three points of which range includes the total propulsion power defined in 5.2 according to the requirements of the IMO Verification Guidelines 4.3.6. This requirement applies individually to each ship, even if the ship is a sistership of a parent vessel.


### 16.2 Estimation of the EEDI reference speed $V_{Ref}$

The adjustment procedure is applicable to the most complex case where sea trials cannot be conducted in EEDI loading condition. It is expected that this will be usually the case for cargo ships like bulk carriers for instance.

It is recommended to use the graphical construction described in Figure 4 that can be described by the following general procedure, applied only to EEDI functioning point (75% of MCR):

Compute for each corrected power value measured during sea trial the ratio  $P_{measured}/P_{tanktestpredicted}$ . These ratios are put on the curve obtained from the model tests in EEDI condition to obtain the curve of the trial results for EEDI condition.

Reference is made to paragraph 3 of appendix 2 (figure 3.1) where an example is provided.



**Figure 4: Extrapolation from Measured Values at sea trial draught to EEDI Draught**

### **16.3 Revision of EEDI Technical File**

The EEDI Technical File should be revised, as necessary, by taking into account the results of sea trials. Such revision should include, as applicable, the adjusted power curve based on the results of sea trial (namely, modified ship speed under the condition as specified in paragraph 2.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines), the finally determined deadweight/gross tonnage and the recalculated attained EEDI and required EEDI based on these modifications.

The revised EEDI Technical File should be submitted to the verifier for the confirmation that the revised attained EEDI is calculated in accordance with regulation 20 of MARPOL Annex VI and the IMO Calculation Guidelines

## **17 VERIFICATION OF THE EEDI IN CASE OF MAJOR CONVERSION**

Verification of the EEDI in case of major conversion is not taken into account in the first version of this document (see 1.3)

**Appendix 1**

**REVIEW AND WITNESS POINTS**

**Table 4: Review and witness points**

Ref.	Function	Survey method	Reference document	Documentation available to verifier	Remarks
01	EEDI Technical File	Review	IMO Verification Guidelines This document	Documents in table 2	
02	Limitation of power	Review	IMO Calculation Guidelines	Verification file of limitation technical means	Only If means of limitation are fitted
03	Electric Power Table	Review	Appendix 2 to IMO Calculation Guidelines Appendix 2 to IMO Verification Guidelines	EPT EPT-EEDI form	Only if PAE is significantly different from the values computed using the formula in 2.5.6.1 or 2.5.6.2 of the IMO Calculation Guidelines
04	Calibration of tank test measuring equipment	Review & witness	Appendix 3	Calibration reports	Check at random that measuring devices are well identified and that calibration reports are currently valid
05	Model tests – ship model	Review & witness	Appendix 4	Ship lines plan & offsets table Ship model report	Checks described in appendix 4.1
06	Model tests – propeller model	Review & witness	Appendix 4	Propeller model report	Checks described in appendix 4.2
07	Model tests – Resistance test, Propulsion test, Propeller open water test	Review & witness	Appendix 4	Tank tests report	Checks described in appendix 4.3 Note: propeller open water test is not needed if a stock propeller is used. In this case, the open water characteristics of the stock propeller are to be annexed to the tank tests report.
08	Model-ship extrapolation and correlation	Review	ITTC 7.5-02-03-01.4 1978 ITTC performance prediction method (rev.02 of 2011 or subsequent revision)  Appendix 4  This document 15.7	Documents in table 2	Check that the ship-model correlation is based on thrust identity with correlation factor according to method 1 ( $C_P - C_N$ ) or method 2 ( $\Delta C_{FC} - \Delta W_C$ )  Check that the power-speed curves obtained for the EEDI condition and sea trial condition are obtained using the same calculation process with justified values of experience-based parameters
09	Numerical calculations replacing tank tests	Review	ITTC 7.5-03-01-04 (latest revision) or equivalent	Report of calculations	
10	Electrical machinery survey prior to sea trials	Witness	Appendix 2 to IMO Verification Guidelines		Only if P <sub>AE</sub> is computed from EPT



Ref.	Function	Survey method	Reference document	Documentation available to verifier	Remarks
11	Programme of sea trials	Review	IMO Verification Guidelines	Programme of sea trials	Check minimum number of measurement points (3) Check the EEDI condition in EPT (if $P_{AE}$ is computed from EPT)
12	Sea trials	Witness	ISO 19019:2005 or ITTC 7.5-04-01-01.1 (latest revision)		Check: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Propulsion power, particulars of the engines</li> <li>• Draught and trim</li> <li>• Sea conditions</li> <li>• Ship speed</li> <li>• Shaft power &amp; rpm</li> </ul> Check operation of means of limitations of engines or shaft power (if fitted) Check the power consumption of selected consumers included in sea trials condition EPT (if $P_{AE}$ is computed from EPT)
13	Sea trials – corrections calculation	Review	ISO 15016:2002 or equivalent	Sea trials report	Check that the displacement and trim of the ship in sea trial condition has been obtained with sufficient accuracy Check compliance with ISO 15016:2002 or equivalent
14	Sea trials – adjustment from trial condition to EEDI condition	Review	This document 16.2	Power curves after sea trial	Check that the power curve estimated for EEDI condition is obtained by power adjustment
15	EEDI Technical File – revised after sea trials	Review	IMO Verification Guidelines	Revised EEDI Technical File	Check that the file has been updated according to sea trials results

## Appendix 2

### SAMPLE OF DOCUMENT TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE VERIFIER INCLUDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR VERIFICATION

<b>Caution</b>	
<b>Protection of Intellectual Property Rights</b>	
<p>This document contains confidential information (defined as additional information) of submitters. Additional information should be treated as strictly confidential by the verifier and failure to do so may lead to penalties. The verifier should note following requirements of IMO Verification Guidelines:</p> <p><i>"4.1.2 The information used in the verification process may contain confidential information of submitters, which requires Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) protection. In the case where the submitter want a non-disclosure agreement with the verifier, the additional information should be provided to the verifier upon mutually agreed terms and conditions."</i></p>	

### Revision list

B	01/05/2014	Final stage: sections 1 to 16	XYZ	YYY	ZZZ
A	01/01/2013	Design stage: sections 1 to 13	XXX	YYY	ZZZ
REV.	ISSUE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	APPROVED

### Content

1	General .....	25
2	Data .....	25
3	Power curves .....	27
4	Overview of propulsion system and electric power system .....	28
5	Electric power table .....	28
6	Tank test organization quality system.....	30
7	Estimation process of power curves at design stage .....	30
8	Lines and offsets of the ship .....	34
9	Description of energy saving equipment.....	34
10	Justification of SFC (documents attached to NO <sub>x</sub> Technical File of the parent engine)	34
11	Calculation of attained EEDI at design stage.....	35
12	Required EEDI .....	36
13	Calculation of attained EEDI <sub>weather</sub> .....	36
14	Lightweight check report .....	36
15	Sea trial report with corrections .....	37
16	Calculation of attained EEDI at final stage.....	37

## 1 GENERAL

This calculation of the Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) is based on:

- Resolution MEPC.203(62), amendments to include regulations on energy efficiency in MARPOL Annex VI;
- Resolution MEPC.212(63), *2012 Guidelines on the method of calculation of the attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) for new ships.*

Calculations are being dealt with according to the Industry Guidelines on calculation and verification of EEDI, 2012 issue.

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Main parameters

Parameter	Value	Reference
Owner	OWNER	
Builder	YARD	
Hull No.	12346	
IMO No.	94111XX	
Ship's type	Bulk carrier	
Ship classification notations	1 HULL, MACH, Bulk Carrier CSR BC-A (holds 2 and 4 may be empty) ESP GRAB[20] Unrestricted Navigation AUT-UMS, GREEN PASSPORT, INWATERSURVEY, MON-SHAFT	
<b>HULL PARTICULARS</b>		
Length overall	191.0 m	
Length between perpendiculars	185.0 m	
Breadth, moulded	32.25 m	
Depth, moulded	17.9 m	
Summer load line draught, moulded	12.70 m	
Deadweight at summer load line draught	55 000 DWT	
Lightweight	11 590 tons	
Owner's voluntary structural enhancements	No	
<b>MAIN ENGINE</b>		
Type & manufacturer	BUILDER 6SRT60ME	
Specified Maximum Continuous Rating (SMCR)	9 200 kW x 105 rpm	
SFC at 75% SMCR	171 g/kWh	See paragraph 10.1
Number of set	1	
Fuel type	Diesel/Gas oil	
<b>AUXILIARY ENGINES</b>		
Type & manufacturer	BUILDER 5X28	
Specified Maximum Continuous Rating (SMCR)	650 kW x 700 rpm	
SFC at 50% SMCR	205 g/kWh	See paragraph 10.2
Number of set	3	
Fuel type	Diesel/Gas oil	
<b>OVERVIEW OF PROPULSION SYSTEM AND ELECTRICITY SUPPLY SYSTEM</b>		See section 4
<b>SHAFT GENERATORS</b>		
Type & manufacturer	None	
Rated electrical output power		
Number of set	0	

Parameter	Value	Reference
<b>SHAFT MOTORS</b>		
Type & manufacturer	None	
Rated power consumption		
Efficiency		
Number of set	0	
<b>MAIN GENERATORS</b>		
Type & manufacturer	BUILDER AC120	
Rated output	605 kW <sub>e</sub>	
Efficiency	0.93	
Number of set	3	
<b>PROPULSION SHAFT</b>		
Propeller diameter	5.9 m	
Propeller number of blades	4	
Voluntarily limited shaft propulsion power	No	
Number of set	1	
<b>ENERGY SAVING EQUIPMENT</b>		
Description of energy saving equipment	Propeller boss cap fins	See section 9
Power reduction or power output	None	

## 2.2 Preliminary verification of attained EEDI

Parameter	Value	Reference
<b>TANK TEST ORGANIZATION</b>		
Identification of organization	TEST corp.	See section 6.
ISO Certification or previous experience?	Previous experience	
<b>TANK TESTS</b>		
Exemption of tank tests	No	
Process and methodology of estimation of the power curves		See section 7
Ship model information		See subparagraph 7.2.1
Propeller model information		See subparagraph 7.2.2
EEDI & sea trial loading conditions	EEDI: mean draft: 12.7 m Trim 0  Sea trial ( ballast ): mean draft: 5.8 m Trim 2.6 m by stern	
Propeller open water diagram (model, ship)		See paragraph 7.4
Experience based parameters		See paragraph 7.3
Power curves at full scale		See section 3
Ship Reference speed	14.25 knots	
ELECTRIC POWER TABLE (as necessary, as defined in IMO EEDI Calculation Guidelines)	Significant difference from 2.5.6 of IMO EEDI Calculation Guidelines	See section 5
CALCULATION OF ATTAINED EEDI	5.06	See section 11
CALCULATION OF REQUIRED EEDI	5.27	See section 12
CALCULATION OF ATTAINED EEDI <sub>weather</sub>	Not calculated	See section 13

### 2.3 Final verification of attained EEDI

Parameter	Value	Reference
SEA TRIAL LOADING CONDITION		
POWER CURVES		See section 3
Sea trial report with corrections		See section 15
Ship Reference speed	14.65 knots	
FINAL DEADWEIGHT		See section 14
Displacement	66 171 tons	
Lightweight	11 621 tons	
Deadweight	54 550 DWT	
FINAL ATTAINED EEDI	4.96	See section 16

### 3 POWER CURVES

The power curves estimated at the design stage and modified after the sea trials are given in Figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1: Power curves

#### 4 OVERVIEW OF PROPULSION SYSTEM AND ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM

Figure 4.1 shows the connections within the propulsion and electric power supply systems.

The characteristics of the main engines, auxiliary engines, electrical generators and propulsion electrical motors are given in table 2.1.




Figure 4.1 scheme of the propulsion and power generation systems

#### 5 ELECTRIC POWER TABLE

The electric power for the calculation of EEDI is provided in table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Electric power table for calculation of PAE

Id	Group	Description	Mech. Power "Pm"	El. Motor output	Efficien. "e"	Rated el. Power "Pr"	load factor "kl"	duty factor "kd"	time factor "kt"	use factor "ku"	Necessary power "Pload"
1	A	STEERING GEAR	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	45,0	0,9	1	0,3	0,27	12.2
2	A	HULL CATHODIC PROTECTION	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10	1	1	1	1,00	10,0
3	A	CRANE	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10,00	0,2	1	1	0,20	2,0
4	A	COMPASS	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	0,5	1	1	1	1,00	0,5
5	A	RADAR NO.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,3	1	0,5	1	0,50	0,7
6	A	RADAR NO.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,3	1	0,5	1	0,50	0,7
7	A	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	5,0	1	1	1	1,00	5,0
8	A	INTERNAL COMM. EQUIPMENT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	2,5	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,2
9	A	RADIO EQUIPMENT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	3,5	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,4
10	A	MOORING EQ.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	7,0	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,7
11	B	MAIN COOLING SEA WATER PUMP NO.1	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0
12	B	MAIN COOLING SEA WATER PUMP NO.2	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0

Id	Group	Description	Mech. Power "Pm"	El. Motor output	Efficien. "e"	Rated el. Power "Pr"	load factor "kl"	duty factor "kd"	time factor "kt"	use factor "ku"	Necessary power "Pload"
13	B	MAIN COOLING SEA WATER PUMP NO.3	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0
14	B	LT COOLING FW PUMP NO.1	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0
15	B	LT COOLING FW PUMP NO.2	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0
16	B	LT COOLING FW PUMP NO.3	28,0	30	0,925	30,3	0,9	0,66	1	0,59	18,0
17	B	M/E COOLING WATER PUMP NO.1	13,0	15	0,9	14,4	1	0,5	1	0,50	7,2
18	B	M/E COOLING WATER PUMP NO.2	13,0	15	0,9	14,4	1	0,5	1	0,50	7,2
19	C	MAIN LUB. OIL PUMP NO.1	55,0	90	0,94	58,5	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	26,3
20	C	MAIN LUB. OIL PUMP NO.2	55,0	90	0,94	58,5	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	26,3
21	C	H.F.O. TRANSFER PUMP	6,0	7,5	0,88	6,8	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,7
22	C	D.O. TRANSFER PUMP	6,0	7,5	0,88	6,8	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,7
23	C	L.O. TRANSFER PUMP	1,4	2,5	0,8	1,8	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,2
24	C	TECHNICAL FRESH WATER PUMP NO.1	2,5	3,5	0,85	2,9	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,1
25	C	TECHNICAL FRESH WATER PUMP NO.2	2,5	3,5	0,85	2,9	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,1
26	C	E/R SUPPLY FAN NO.1	14,0	20	0,9	15,5	0,9	1	1	0,90	14,0
27	C	E/R SUPPLY FAN NO.2	14,0	20	0,9	15,5	0,9	1	1	0,90	14,0
28	C	E/R SUPPLY FAN NO.3	14,0	20	0,9	15,5	0,9	1	1	0,90	14,0
29	C	E/R SUPPLY FAN NO.4	14,0	20	0,9	15,5	0,9	1	1	0,90	14,0
30	C	PURIFIER ROOM EXH.VENTILATOR	2,5	3	0,82	3,0	0,9	1	1	0,90	2,7
31	C	PUMP HFO SUPPLY UNIT NO.1	2,1	3	0,8	2,6	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	1,2
32	C	PUMP HFO SUPPLY UNIT NO.2	2,1	3	0,8	2,6	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	1,2
33	C	CIRC. PUMP FOR HFO SUPPLY UNIT NO.1	2,8	3,5	0,84	3,3	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	1,5
34	C	CIRC. PUMP FOR HFO SUPPLY UNIT NO.2	2,8	3,5	0,84	3,3	0,9	0,5	1	0,45	1,5
35	C	H.F.O. SEPARATOR NO.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	6,5	0,9	0,5	0,9	0,41	2,6
36	C	H.F.O. SEPARATOR NO.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	6,5	0,9	0,5	0,9	0,41	2,6
37	C	MAIN AIR COMPRESSOR NO.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	43,0	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	2,2
38	C	MAIN AIR COMPRESSOR NO.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	43,0	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	2,2
39	C	SERVICE AIR COMPRESSOR	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	22,0	1	1	0,1	0,10	2,2
40	C	VENT. AIR SUPPLY	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,0	1	1	0,5	0,50	0,1
41	C	BILGE WATER SEPARATOR	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,5	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,2
42	C	M/E L.O. SEPARATOR	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	6,5	0,9	1	0,2	0,18	1,2
43	C	G/E L.O. SEPARATOR	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	6,5	0,9	1	0,2	0,18	1,2
44	D	HYDROPHORE PUMP NO.1	2,8	4	0,84	3,3	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,2
45	D	HYDROPHORE PUMP NO.2	2,8	4	0,84	3,3	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,2
46	D	HOT WATER CIRCULATING PUMP NO.1	0,5	1,0	0,8	0,8	1	0,5	0,2	0,10	0,1
47	D	HOT WATER CIRCULATING PUMP NO.2	0,5	1,0	0,8	0,8	1	0,5	0,2	0,10	0,1
48	E	E/R WORKSHOP WELDING SPACE EXH.	0,5	0,8	0,8	0,6	0,9	1	1	0,90	0,6
49	F	ECR COOLER UNIT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	4,2	1	1	0,5	0,50	2,1
50	F	FAN FOR AIR CONDITIONING PLANT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	8,0	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	3,6
51	F	COMP. AIR CONDITIONING PLANT NO.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10,0	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	4,5
52	F	COMP. AIR CONDITIONING PLANT NO.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10,0	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	4,5
53	F	COMP. AIR CONDITIONING PLANT NO.3	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10,0	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	4,5
54	F	COMP. AIR CONDITIONING PLANT NO.4	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10,0	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	4,5
55	G	FAN FOR GALLEY AIR COND. PLANT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,5	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	0,7

Id	Group	Description	Mech. Power "Pm"	El. Motor output	Efficien. "e"	Rated el. Power "Pr"	load factor "kl"	duty factor "kd"	time factor "kt"	use factor "ku"	Necessary power "Pload"
56	G	COMP. FOR GALLEY AIR COND. PLANT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	3,5	0,9	1	0,5	0,45	1,6
57	G	REF. COMPRESSOR NO.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	4,0	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,2
58	G	REF. COMPRESSOR NO.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	4,0	1	0,5	0,1	0,05	0,2
59	G	GALLEY EQUIPMENT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	80,0	0,5	1	0,1	0,05	4,0
60	H	VAC. COLLECTION SYSTEM	2,4	3,0	0,8	3,0	1	1	1	1,00	3,0
61	H	GALLEY EXH.	1,2	1,5	0,8	1,5	1	1	1	1,00	1,5
62	H	LAUNDRY EXH.	0,1	0,15	0,8	0,1	1	1	1	1,00	0,1
63	H	SEWAGE TREATMENT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	4,5	1	1	0,1	0,10	0,5
64	H	SEWAGE DISCHARGE	3	7,5	0,88	3,4	0,9	1	0,1	0,09	0,3
65	I	ACCOMMODATION LIGHTING	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	16,0	1	1	0,5	0,5	8,0
66	I	E/R LIGHTING	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	18,0	1	1	1	1,00	18,0
67	I	NAVIGATION LIGHTING	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	0,9	1	0,5	1	0,50	0,4
68	I	BACK. NAV. LIGHTING	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	0,9	1	0,5	1	0,50	0,4
									<b>TOTAL POWER</b>	<b>354,0</b>	
<b>P<sub>AE</sub> = Total Power / (average efficiency of generators) = 354/0.93 = 381 kW</b>											

## 6 TANK TEST ORGANIZATION QUALITY SYSTEM

Tank tests will be performed in TEST corp.

The quality control system of the tank test organization TEST corp. has been documented previously (see report 100 for the ship hull No.12345) and the quality manual and calibration records are available to the verifier.

The measuring equipment has not been modified since the issue of report 100 and is listed in table 6.1.

**Table 6.1: List of measuring equipment**

	Manufacturer	Model	Series	Lab. Id.	status
Propeller dynamometer	B&N	6001	300	125-2	Calibrated 01/01/2011
...					

## 7 ESTIMATION PROCESS OF POWER CURVES AT DESIGN STAGE

### 7.1 Test procedure

The tests and their analysis are conducted by TEST corp. applying their standard correlation method (document is given in annex 1).

The method is based on thrust identity and references ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-03-1.4 ITTC 1978 Trial Prediction Method (in its latest reviewed version of 2011), with prediction of the full scale rpm and delivered power by use of the  $C_P - C_N$  correction factors.

The results are based on a Resistance Test, a Propulsion Test and use the Open Water Characteristics of the model propeller used during the tests and the Propeller Open Water Characteristics of the final propeller given in 7.4.



Results of the resistance tests and propulsion tests of the ship model are given in the report of TEST corp. given in annex 2.

## 7.2 Speed prediction

The ship delivered power  $P_D$  and rate of revolutions  $n_S$  are determined from the following equations:

$$P_D = C_P \cdot P_{DS}$$

$$n_T = C_N \cdot n_S$$

Where  $C_N$  and  $C_P$  are experience-based factors and  $P_{DS}$  (resp.  $n_S$ ) are the delivered power (resp. rpm) obtained from the analysis of the tank tests.

The ship total resistance coefficient  $C_{TS}$  is given by:

$$C_{TS} = \frac{S_S + S_{BK}}{S_S} \cdot [(1 + k) \cdot C_{FS} + \Delta C_F] + C_R + C_{AAS} + C_{AppS}$$

Where:

$S_S$ : ship hull wetted surface, here 9886 m<sup>2</sup>

$S_{BK}$ : wetted surface of bilge keels

$k$ : form factor. Here  $1+k = 1.38$  over the speed range, determined according to ITTC standard procedure 7.5-02-02-01

$C_{FS}$ : ship frictional resistance coefficient (computed according to ITTC 1957 formula)

$\Delta C_F$ : roughness allowance, computed according to Bowden-Davison formula. Here  $\Delta C_F = 0.000339$

$C_R$ : residual resistance coefficient

$C_{AAS}$ : air resistance coefficient

$C_{AppS}$ : ship appendages (propeller boss cap fins) resistance coefficient, computed as provided in annex 2.

The air resistance coefficient is computed according to the following formula:

$$C_{AAS} = C_{DA} \cdot \frac{\rho_A \cdot A_{VS}}{\rho_S \cdot S_S}$$

Where:

$C_{DA}$  is the air drag coefficient, here 0.8

$\rho_A$  and  $\rho_S$  are the air density and water density, respectively

$A_{VS}$  is the projected wind area, here 820 m<sup>2</sup>

$C_{AAS} = 7.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$

The delivered power  $P_D$  results of the tank tests are summarized in table 7.1 for the EEDI condition (scantling draft) and in table 7.2 for the sea trial condition (light ballast draft).

**Table 7.1: results of trial prediction in EEDI condition**

Model reference: SX100 - model scale: 40					
Loading condition: EEDI loading condition (12.70 m draft)					
Resistance test: R001		Propulsion test: P001		Model propeller: Prop01	
Ship speed V (knot)	Wake factor $w_{TM-WTS}$	Propeller thrust $T_S$ (kN)	Propeller torque $Q_S$ (kNm)	rpm on ship $n_S$	Delivered Power $P_D$ (kW)
12	0.098	522	467	78	3781
12.5	0.093	578	514	82	4362
13	0.089	638	563	86	5004
13.5	0.081	701	615	90	5710
14	0.079	768	669	93	6486
14.5	0.086	838	727	97	7333
15	0.091	912	786	101	8257
15.5	0.099	990	849	105	9261
Experience-based factor $C_P$ : 1.01					
Experience based factor $C_N$ : 1.02					

**Table 7.2: results of trial prediction in sea trial condition**

Model reference: SX100 - model scale: 40					
Loading condition: Sea trial condition (5.80 m draft)					
Resistance test: R002		Propulsion test: P002		Model propeller: Prop01	
Ship speed V (knot)	Wake factor $w_{TM-WTS}$	Propeller thrust $T_S$ (kN)	Propeller torque $Q_S$ (kNm)	rpm on ship $n_S$	Delivered Power $P_D$ (kW)
12	0,079	406	379	72	2974
12,5	0,081	451	418	76	3445
13	0,083	500	459	79	3968
13,5	0,085	551	503	83	4545
14	0,087	606	549	87	5181
14,5	0,088	664	597	90	5878
15	0,091	725	648	94	6641
15,5	0,089	790	701	98	7474
Experience-based factor $C_P$ : 1.05					
Experience based factor $C_N$ : 1.03					

The predicted results are represented on the speed curves given in Figure 3.1. The EEDI condition results are indexed (Full, p), the sea trial condition results (Ballast, p).

### 7.3 Ship and propeller models

The ship model is at scale  $\lambda = 40$ . The characteristics are given in table 7.3.

**Table 7.3: characteristics of the ship model**

Identification (model number or similar)	SX 100
Material of construction	Wood
Principal dimensions	
Length between perpendiculars ( $L_{PP}$ )	4.625 m
Length of waterline ( $L_{WL}$ )	4.700 m
Breadth ( $B$ )	0.806 m
Draught ( $T$ )	0.317 m
Design displacement ( $\Delta$ ) (kg, fresh water)	1008.7 kg
Wetted surface area	6.25 m <sup>2</sup>
Details of turbulence stimulation	Sand strips
Details of appendages	rudder
Tolerances of manufacture	+/- 2.5 mm on length +/- 1 mm on breadth

The propeller model used during the tests is a stock model with the following characteristics:

**Table 7.4: characteristics of the stock propeller used during the tests**

Identification (model number or similar)	Prop01
Materials of construction	aluminium
Blade number	4
Principal dimensions	
Diameter	147.5 mm
Pitch-Diameter Ratio ( $P/D$ )	0.68
Expanded blade Area Ratio ( $A_E/A_0$ )	0.60
Thickness Ratio ( $t/D$ )	0.036
Hub/Boss Diameter ( $d_h$ )	25 mm
Tolerances of manufacture	Diameter (D): $\pm 0.10$ mm Thickness (t): $\pm 0.10$ mm Blade width (c): $\pm 0.20$ mm Mean pitch at each radius (P/D): $\pm 0.5\%$ of design value.

#### 7.4 Open water characteristics of propeller

The open water characteristics of the stock model propeller are given in annex 2. The open water characteristics of the ship propeller are given in figure 7.1.




Figure 7.1: open water characteristics of ship propeller

## 8 LINES AND OFFSETS OF THE SHIP

The ships lines and offsets table are given in annex 3.

## 9 DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY SAVING EQUIPMENT

### 9.1 Energy saving equipment of which effects are expressed as $P_{AEff(i)}$ and/or $P_{eff(i)}$ in the EEDI calculation formula

None here.

### 9.2 Other energy saving equipment

The propeller boss cap fins are described in annex 4.

## 10 JUSTIFICATION OF SFC (DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO NO<sub>x</sub> TECHNICAL FILE OF THE PARENT ENGINE)

### 10.1 Main engine

The shop test report for the parent main engine is provided in annex 5.1. The SFOC has been corrected to ISO conditions.

### 10.2 Auxiliary engine

The technical file of the EIAPP certificate of the auxiliary engines is provided in annex 5.2. The SFOC has been corrected to ISO conditions.

## 11 CALCULATION OF ATTAINED EEDI AT DESIGN STAGE

### 11.1 Input parameters and definitions

The EEDI quantities and intermediate calculations are listed in table 11.1:

**Table 11.1: Parameters in attained EEDI calculation**

EEDI quantity	Value	Remarks
$C_{FME}$	3.206	Marine Diesel oil is used for shop test of the main engine
$P_{ME}$	6 900 kW	No shaft generator installed ( $P_{PTO} = 0$ ) MCR is 9200 kW $P_{ME} = 0.75 \times 9200 = 6\,900$ kW
$SFC_{ME}$	171 g/kWh	According to parent engine shop test report in ISO conditions (see 10.1)
$C_{FAE}$	3.206	Marine diesel oil is used for shop test of the auxiliary engine
$P_{PTI}$	0	No shaft motor installed
$P_{AE}$	381 kW	MCR of the engine is 9200 kW, less than 10000kW $P_{AE} = 0.05 \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_{ME}} MCR_{MEi} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{PTI}} P_{PTI(i)}}{0.75} \right)$ $P_{AE} = 0.05 \cdot 9200 = 460$ kW According to electric power table included in table 5.1, $\sum P_{load}(i) = 354$ kW The weighted average efficiency of generators = 0.93 (KWelec/kWmech) $P_{AE} = \sum P_{load}(i) / 0.93 = 381$ kW The difference (460 – 381) kW is expected to vary EEDI by slightly more than 1%, so 381 kW is considered.
$SFC_{AE}$	205 g/kWh	According to technical file of EIAPP certificate in ISO conditions (see 10.2)
$P_{eff}$	0	No mechanical energy efficient devices The propeller boss cap fins act by reducing ship resistance
$P_{AEeff}$	0	No auxiliary power reduction
$f_{eff}$		Not relevant here (see above)
$f_j$	1.0	The ship is a bulk carrier without ice notations. $f_j = 1.0$
$f_i$	1.017	No ice notation $f_{iICE} = 1.0$ No voluntary structural enhancement for this ship $f_{iVSE} = 1.0$ The ship has the notation Bulk carrier CSR: $f_{iCSR} = 1 + 0.08 \cdot LWT_{CSR} / DWT_{CSR} = 1 + 0.08 \cdot 11590 / 55000 = 1.017$ $f_i = f_{iICE} \times f_{iVSE} \times f_{iCSR} = 1.017$
$f_w$	1.0	For attained EEDI calculation under regulation 20 and 21 of MARPOL Annex VI, $f_w$ is 1.0
$f_c$	1.0	The ship is a bulk carrier $f_c = 1.0$
Capacity	55000	For a bulk carrier, Capacity is deadweight = 55 000 tons
$V_{ref}$	14.25 knots	At design stage, reference speed is obtained from the tank test report and delivered power in scantling draft (EEDI) condition is given in table 7.1 In table 7.1 $P_D = 1.0 \times P_{ME} = 6900$ kW The reference speed is read on the speed curve corresponding to table 7.1 at intersection between curve <i>Full, p</i> and 6900 kW $V_{ref} = 14.25$ knots

### 11.2 Result

For this vessel, Attained EEDI is:

$$\frac{(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j) \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n_{ME}} P_{ME(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)}) + P_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} + ((\prod_{j=1}^n f_j) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n_{PTI}} P_{PTI(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{eff}} f_{eff(i)} \cdot P_{AEeff(i)}) \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{eff}} f_{eff(i)} \cdot P_{eff(i)} \cdot C_{FME} \cdot SFC_{ME}}{f_i \cdot f_c \cdot Capacity \cdot f_w \cdot V_{ref}}$$

$$\text{Attained EEDI} = (6900 \cdot 3.206 \cdot 171 + 381 \cdot 3.206 \cdot 205) / (1.017 \cdot 55000 \cdot 14.25) = 5.06 \text{ g/t.nm}$$

## 12 REQUIRED EEDI

According to MARPOL Annex VI, chapter 4, regulation 21, the required EEDI is:

$$(1-x/100) \times \text{reference line value}$$

The reference line value =  $a \cdot b^{-c}$  where a, b, c are given for a bulk carrier as:

$$a = 961.79 \quad b = \text{deadweight of the ship} \quad c = 0.477$$

So reference line value = 5.27 g/t.nm

In Phase 0 (between 1 Jan 2013 and 31 Dec 2014) above 20000 DWT,  $x = 0$

So Required EEDI = 5.27 g/t.nm

Figure 12.1 provides the relative position of attained EEDI with reference to required value.

As a conclusion, for this vessel:

- attained EEDI = 5.06 g/t.nm
- required EEDI = 5.27 g/t.nm
- **Regulation criteria is satisfied with 4% margin**

R




Figure 12.1: Required EEDI value

## 13 CALCULATION OF ATTAINED EEDI<sub>WEATHER</sub>

Not calculated.

## 14 LIGHTWEIGHT CHECK REPORT

The lightweight check report is provided in annex 6. The final characteristics of the ship are:

Displacement	66,171 tonnes
Lightweight	11,621 tonnes
Deadweight	54,550 DWT

## 15 SEA TRIAL REPORT WITH CORRECTIONS

The sea trial report is provided in annex 7. The results of the sea trial after corrections by BSRA and ITTC standard methods are given on curve *Ballast* on figure 3.1.

## 16 CALCULATION OF ATTAINED EEDI AT FINAL STAGE

### 16.1 Recalculated values of parameters

The EEDI quantities and intermediate calculations are listed in table 16.1. Parameters which have not been modified from the preliminary verification stage are marked "no change".

**Table 11.1: Parameters in attained EEDI calculation (final stage)**

EEDI quantity	Value	Remarks
$C_{FME}$	3.206	No change
$P_{ME}$	6,900 kW	No change
$SFC_{ME}$	171 g/kWh	No change
$CF_{AE}$	3.206	No change
$P_{PTI}$	0	No change
$P_{AE}$	381 kW	The electric power table has been validated and endorsed (see the electric power table form in annex 8)
$SFC_{AE}$	205 g/kWh	No change
$P_{eff}$	0	No change
$P_{AEeff}$	0	No change
$f_{eff}$		No change
$f_i$	1.0	No change
$f_i$	1.017	Deadweight and lightweight are computed from lightweight check: $f_{iCSR} = 1 + 0.08 \cdot LWT_{CSR} / DWT_{CSR} = 1 + 0.08 \cdot 11621 / 54550 = 1.017$ $f_i = f_{iICE} \times f_{iVSE} \times f_{iCSR} = 1.017$ (unchanged)
$f_c$	1.0	No change
Capacity	54,550 DWT	Deadweight has been computed from the lightweight check. See 14.
$V_{ref}$	14.65 knots	The reference speed in EEDI condition has been adjusted according to the delivered power adjustment methodology defined in Industry Guidelines. The reference speed is read on the speed curves diagram in Figure 3.1 $V_{ref} = 14.65$ knots

### 16.2 Final result

Attained EEDI =  $(6900 \cdot 3.206 \cdot 171 + 381 \cdot 3.206 \cdot 205) / (1.017 \cdot 54550 \cdot 14.65) = 4.96$  g/t.nm

Required EEDI in Phase 0:  $961.79 \cdot 54550^{-0.477} = 5.29$  g/t.nm

**Regulation criteria is satisfied with 6% margin**

## **List of annexes to the Document**

- |         |   |
|---------|---|
| Annex 1 | Standard model-ship extrapolation and correlation method  |
| Annex 2 | Tank tests report   |
| Annex 3 | Ship lines and offsets table  |
| Annex 4 | Description of energy saving equipment  |
| Annex 5 | 5.1 NO <sub>x</sub> Technical File of main engine(s)<br>5.2 NO <sub>x</sub> Technical File of auxiliary engines |
| Annex 6 | Lightweight check report  |
| Annex 7 | Sea trials report   |
| Annex 8 | EPT-EEDI form   |



## Appendix 3

### VERIFYING THE CALIBRATION OF MODEL TEST EQUIPMENT

#### Quality Control System

The existence of a Quality Control System is not sufficient to guarantee the correctness of the test procedures; QS, including ISO 9000, only give documentary evidence what is to be and has been done. Quality Control Systems do not evaluate the procedures as such.

The Test institute should have a quality control system (QS). If the QS is not certified ISO 9000 a documentation of the QS should be shown. A Calibration Procedure is given in ITTC Recommended Procedures 7.6-01-01

#### 1. Measuring equipment

An important aspect of the efficient operation of Quality System according to measuring equipment is a full identification of devices used for the tests.

Measuring equipment instruments shall have their individual records in which the following data shall be placed:

- name of equipment
- manufacturer
- model
- series
- laboratory identification number ( optionally)
- status ( verified, calibration, indication )

Moreover the information about the date of last and next calibration or verification shall be placed on this record. All the data shall be signed by authorised officer.

#### 2. Measuring standards

Measuring standards used in laboratory for calibration purposes shall be confirmed (verified) by Weights and Measures Office at appropriate intervals (defined by the Weights and Measures Office).

All measuring standards used in laboratory for the confirmation purposes shall be supported by certificates, reports or data sheets for the equipment confirming the source, uncertainty and conditions under which the results were obtained.

#### 3. Calibration

The calibration methods may differ from institution to institution, depending on the particular measurement equipment. The calibration shall comprise the whole measuring chain (gauge, amplifier, data acquisition system etc.).

The laboratory shall ensure that the calibration tests are carried out using certified measuring standards having a known valid relationship to international or nationally recognised standards.

##### a) Calibration Report

"Calibration reports" shall include:

- identification of certificate for measuring standards
- description of environmental conditions
- calibration factor or calibration curve
- uncertainty of measurement

- minimum and maximum capacity" for which the error of measuring instrument is within specified (acceptable) limits.

b) Intervals of confirmation

The measuring equipment (including measuring standards) shall be confirmed at appropriate (usually periodical) intervals, established on the basis of their stability, purpose and wear. The intervals shall be such that confirmation is carried out again prior to any probable change in the equipment accuracy, which is important for the equipment reliability. Depending on the results of preceding calibrations, the confirmation period may be shortened, if necessary, to ensure the continuous accuracy of the measuring equipment. The laboratory shall have specific objective criteria for decisions concerning the choice of intervals of confirmation.

c) Non-conforming equipment

Any item of measuring equipment

- that has suffered damage,
- that has been overloaded or mishandled,
- that shows any malfunction,
- whose proper functioning is subject to doubt,
- that has exceeded its designated confirmation interval, or
- the integrity of whose seal has been violated, shall be removed from service by segregation, clear labelling or cancelling.

Such equipment shall not be returned to service until the reasons for its nonconformity have been eliminated and it is confirmed again.

If the results of calibration prior to any adjustment or repair were such as to indicate a risk of significant errors in any of the measurements made with the equipment before the calibration, the laboratory shall take the necessary corrective action.

#### **4. Instrumentation**

Especially the documentation on the calibration of the following Instrumentation should be shown.

a) Carriage speed

The carriage speed should be calibrated as a distance against time. Period between the calibrations should be in accordance with the internal procedure of the tank test organisation.

b) Water temperature

Measured by calibrated thermometer with certificate (accuracy 0.1°C).

c) Trim Measurement

Calibrated against a length standard. Period between the calibrations should be in accordance with the internal procedure of the tank test organisation.

d) Resistance Test

Resistance Test is a force measurement. It should be calibrated against a standard weight. Calibration normally before each test series.

e) Propulsion Test

During Self Propulsion Test torque, thrust and rate of revolutions are measured. Thrust and Torque are calibrated against a standard weight. Rate of revolution is normally measured by a pulse tachometer and an electronic counter which can be calibrated e.g. by an

oscillograph. Period between the calibrations should be in accordance with the internal procedure of the tank test organization.

f) Propeller Open Water Test

During Propeller Open Water Test torque, thrust and rate of revolutions are measured. Thrust and Torque are calibrated against a standard weight. Rate of revolution is normally measured by a pulse tachometer and an electronic counter which can be calibrated e.g. by an oscillograph. Period between the calibrations should be in accordance with the internal procedure of the tank test organization.

Examples of documentation sheets are given in annexes 1 and 2:

ANNEX 1: SAMPLE OF MEASURING EQUIPMENT CARD

<b>QM</b> <b>4.10.5.</b> <b>1</b>		<b>Measurement Equipment Card</b>			Laboratory Identification Number <input type="text"/>	
Equipment <input type="text"/>		Manufacturer Serial No. <input type="text"/>		Model <input type="text"/> Date of Purchase <input type="text"/>		
Basic range <input type="text"/>						
Work Instructions <input type="text"/>		Instructions <input type="text"/>			<b>Status</b>	
Calibration Verified at <input type="text"/>					Calibrated <input type="checkbox"/>	
					Indication <input type="checkbox"/>	
					Verified <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date of Check	Certificate. No.	Period	Date of Next Check	Responsible	Department	Approval

ANNEX 2: SAMPLE OF CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE.

<b>QM</b> <b>4.10.6.2</b>	<b>CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE</b> for <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; width: 200px;">PROPELLER</div>	<b>NO.</b> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text"/>  <b>LIN</b> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text"/>
Calibration Instructions <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	Calibrated by : <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	
Date of calibration <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	Checked by : <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	
<b>Measurement combination</b>		
<b>DYNAMOMETER</b> LIN <input style="width: 80px;" type="text"/>	Manufacturer <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Serial No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Work instruction <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	Model <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Date of purchased <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Last calibration <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
<b>Cable</b>		
<b>AMPLIFIER</b> L <input style="width: 80px;" type="text"/>	Manufacturer <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Serial No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Work instruction <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Excitation <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	Model <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Date of purchased <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Type of transducer <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Frequency of excit. <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
<b>Thrust :</b> Amp. gain <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> <b>Torque :</b> Amp. gain <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	Zero not load <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Zero not load <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	
<b>Cable</b>		
<b>A/C TRANSDUCER</b> L <input style="width: 80px;" type="text"/>	Manufacturer <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Serial No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Work instruction <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	Model <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Date of purchased <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Certificate No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
<b>MEASUREMENT STANDARDS</b>		
Mass <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Length arm of force <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Voltmeter <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	Certificate No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Certificate No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/> Certificate No <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	

<b>QM</b>				
<b>CALIBRATION RESULTS</b>				
Environmental condition				
Place of test :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>			
Temperature :	initial	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	final	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
Dampness :	initial	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	final	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
Computation results of calibrations test				
Executed program	procedure	certificate NO.		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
	<b>Thrust</b>	<b>Torque</b>		
Drift :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Non Linearity errors :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Hysteresis :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Precision errors :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Total uncertainty :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Calibration factor :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Calibration requests :				
Specified limits of	<b>Thrust</b>	<b>Torque</b>		
errors :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Maximum capacity :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Minimum capacity :	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
<b>Note : tests and computations results are included in report</b>			<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	

Prepared by : ..... Approved by : ..... Date : .....

## Appendix 4

### REVIEW AND WITNESSING OF MODEL TEST PROCEDURES

The Model Tests should be witnessed by the verifier. Special attention should be given to the following items:

#### 1. Ship Model

##### Hydrodynamic Criteria

- a) *Model Size*: The model should generally be as large as possible for the size of the towing tank taking into consideration wall, blockage and finite depth effects, as well as model mass and the maximum speed of the towing carriage (ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-02-01 Resistance Test).
- b) *Reynolds Number*: The Reynolds Number should, if possible, be above  $2.5 \times 10^5$ .
- c) *Turbulence Stimulator*: In order to ensure turbulent flow, turbulence stimulators have to be applied.

##### Manufacture Accuracy

With regard to accuracy the ship model should comply with the criteria given in ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01, Ship Models.

The following checks are recommended:

- a) *Main dimensions*,  $L_{PP}$ ,  $B$
- b) *Surface finish*, model should be smooth. Particular care should be taken when finishing the model to ensure that geometric features such as knuckles, spray rails, and boundaries of transom sterns remain well-defined
- c) *Stations and Waterlines* The spacing and numbering of displacement stations and waterlines should be properly defined and accurately marked on the model.
- d) *Displacement* The model should be run at the correct calculated displacement. The model weight should be correct to within 0.2% of the correct calculated weight displacement. In case the marked draught is not met when the calculated displacement has been established the calculation of the displacement and the geometry of the model compared to the ship has to be revised. (Checking the Offsets).

##### Documentation in the report

Identification (model number or similar)  
Materials of construction  
Principal dimensions  
Length between perpendiculars ( $L_{PP}$ )  
Length of waterline ( $L_{WL}$ )  
Breadth ( $B$ )  
Draught ( $T$ )  
For multihull vessels, longitudinal and transverse hull spacing  
Design displacement ( $\Delta$ ) (kg, fresh water)  
Hydrostatics, including water plane area and wetted surface area  
Details of turbulence stimulation  
Details of appendages  
Tolerances of manufacture

## 2. Propeller Model

The Manufacturing Tolerances of Propellers for Propulsion Tests are given IN ITTC Recommended Procedures 7.5-01-01-01, Ship Models Chapter 3.1.2. Attention: Procedure 7.5 – 01-02-02 Propeller Model Accuracy is asking for higher standards which are applicable for cavitation tests and not required for self-propulsion tests.

### Propeller Model Accuracy

#### **Stock Propellers**

During the "stock-propeller" testing phase, the geometrical particulars of the final design propeller are normally not known. Therefore, the stock propeller pitch (in case of CPP) is recommended to be adjusted to the anticipated propeller shaft power and design propeller revolutions. (ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-03-01.1 Propulsion/Bollard Pull Test).

#### **Adjustable Pitch Propellers**

Before the Tests the pitch adjustment should be controlled.

#### **Final Propellers**

Propellers having diameter (D) typically from 150 mm to 300 mm should be finished to the following tolerances:

Diameter (D)  $\pm 0.10$  mm

Thickness (t)  $\pm 0.10$  mm

Blade width (c)  $\pm 0.20$  mm

Mean pitch at each radius (P/D):  $\pm 0.5\%$  of de-sign value.

Special attention should be paid to the shaping accuracy near the leading and trailing edges of the blade section and to the thickness distributions. The propeller will normally be completed to a polished finish.

#### **Documentation in the report**

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Materials of construction
- Principal dimensions
  - Diameter
  - Pitch-Diameter Ratio ( $P/D$ )
  - Expanded blade Area Ratio ( $A_E/A_0$ )
  - Thickness Ratio ( $t/D$ )
  - Hub/Boss Diameter ( $d_h$ )
- Tolerances of manufacture

## 3. Model Tests

### a) Resistance Test

The Resistance Test should be performed acc. to ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-02-01 Resistance Test.

#### **Documentation in the report**

*Model Hull Specification:*

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Loading condition
- Turbulence stimulation method
- Model scale

- Main dimensions and hydrostatics (see ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 2 of this paper).

*Particulars of the towing tank*, including length, breadth and water depth

*Test date*

*Parametric data for the test:*

- Water temperature
- Water density
- Kinematic viscosity of the water
- Form factor (even if  $(1+k) = 1.0$  is applicable, this should be stated)
- $\Delta C_F$  or  $C_A$

*For each speed*, the following measured and extrapolated data should be given as a minimum:

- Model speed
- Resistance of the model
- Sinkage fore and aft, or sinkage and trim

## **b) Propulsion Test**

The Propulsion Test should be performed acc. to ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-03-01.1 Propulsion Test/Bollard Pull.

### **Documentation in the report**

*Model Hull Specification:*

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Loading condition
- Turbulence stimulation method
- Model scale
- Main dimensions and hydrostatics (see ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 2 of this paper).

*Model Propeller Specification*

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Model Scale
- Main dimensions and particulars (see ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 3 of this paper)

*Particulars of the towing tank*, including length, breadth and water depth

*Test date*

*Parametric data for the test:*

- Water temperature
- Water density
- Kinematic viscosity of the water
- Form factor (even if  $(1+k) = 1.0$  is applicable, this should be stated)
- $\Delta C_F$  or  $C_A$
- Appendage drag scale effect correction factor (even if a factor for scale effect correction is not applied, this should be stated).



*For each speed* the following measured data and extrapolated data should be given as a minimum:

- Model speed
- External tow force
- Propeller thrust,
- Propeller torque
- Rate of revolutions.
- Sinkage fore and aft, or sinkage and trim

- The extrapolated values should also contain the resulting delivered power  $P_D$ .

### **c) Propeller Open Water Test**

In many cases the Propeller Open Water Characteristics of a stock propeller will be available and the Propeller Open Water Test need not be repeated for the particular project. A documentation of the Open Water Characteristics (Open Water Diagram) will suffice.

In case of a final propeller or where the Propeller Open Water Characteristics is not available the Propeller Open Water Test should be performed acc. to ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-02-03-02.1 Open Water Test.

#### **Documentation in the report**

*Model Propeller Specification:*

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Model scale
- Main dimensions and particulars (see recommendations of ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 3 of this paper)
- Immersion of centreline of propeller shaft in the case of towing tank *Particulars of the towing tank or cavitation tunnel*, including length, breadth and water depth or test section length, breadth and height.

*Test date*

*Parametric data for the test:*

- Water temperature
- Water density
- Kinematic viscosity of the water
- Reynolds Number (based on propeller blade chord at  $0.7R$ )

*For each speed* the following data should be given as a minimum:

- Speed
- Thrust of the propeller
- Torque of the propeller
- Rate of revolution
- Force of nozzle in the direction of the propeller shaft (in case of ducted propeller)

*Propeller Open Water Diagram*

#### **4. Speed Trial Prediction**

The principal steps of the Speed Trial Prediction Calculation are given in ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5 - 02 - 03 -1.4 ITTC 1978 Trial Prediction Method (in its latest reviewed version of 2011). The main issue of a speed trial prediction is to get the loading of the propeller correct and also to assume the correct full scale wake. The right loading of the propeller can be achieved by increasing the friction deduction by the added resistance (e.g. wind resistance etc.) and run the self-propulsion test already at the right load or it can be achieved by calculation as given in Procedure 7.5-02-03-1.4.

A wake correction is always necessary for single screw ships. For twin screw ships it can be neglected unless the stern shape is of twin hull type or other special shape.

The following scheme indicates the main components of a speed trial prediction. It should always be based on a Resistance Test, a Propulsion Test and an Open Water Characteristics of the used model propeller during the tests and the Propeller Open Water Characteristics of the final propeller.

##### **Documentation**

###### *Model Hull Specification:*

- Identification (model number or similar)
- Loading condition
- Turbulence stimulation method
- Model scale
- Main dimensions and hydrostatics (see ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 2 of this paper).

###### *Model Propeller Specification*

- Main dimensions and particulars (see ITTC Recommended Procedure 7.5-01-01-01 Ship Models and chapter 3 of this paper)

###### *Particulars of the towing tank, including length, breadth and water depth*

###### *Resistance Test Identification (Test No. or similar)*

###### *Propulsion Test Identification (Test No. or similar)*


###### *Open Water Characteristics of the model propeller*

###### *Open Water Characteristics of ship propeller*

###### *Ship Specification:*

- Projected wind area
- Wind resistance coefficient
- Assumed BF
- $C_P$  and  $C_n$

Principle Scheme for Speed Trial Prediction




For each speed the following calculated data should be given as a minimum:

- Ship speed
- Model wake coefficient
- Ship wake coefficient
- Propeller thrust on ship
- Propeller torque on ship
- Rate of revolutions on ship
- Predicted power on ship (delivered power on Propeller(s)  $P_D$ )
- Sinkage fore and aft, or sinkage and trim

**Scheme for review and witnessing Model Tests**

Checking of Model Testing Procedure



Appendix 5

**SAMPLE REPORT "PRELIMINARY VERIFICATION OF EEDI"**

**ATTESTATION  
PRELIMINARY VERIFICATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY DESIGN INDEX (EEDI)  
by VERIFIER**

Statement N° EEDI/2012/XXX

**Ship particulars:**

Shipowner: \_\_\_\_\_

Shipyard: \_\_\_\_\_

Ship's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

IMO Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Hull number: \_\_\_\_\_

Building contract date: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of ship: \_\_\_\_\_

Port of registry: \_\_\_\_\_

Deadweight: \_\_\_\_\_

**Summary results of EEDI**

Reference speed	VV.V knots
Attained EEDI	X.XX g/t.nm
Required EEDI	Y.YY g/t.nm

**Supporting documents**

Title	ID and/or remarks
EEDI Technical File	RRRR dated 01/01/2013

This is to certify:

1. That the attained EEDI of the ship has been calculated according to the *2012 Guidelines on the method of calculation of the attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) for new ships*, IMO resolution MEPC.212(63)
2. That the preliminary verification of the EEDI shows that the ship complies with the applicable requirements in regulation 20 and regulation 21 of MARPOL Annex VI amended by resolution MEPC.203(62).

Completion date of preliminary verification of EEDI: xx/xx/xxxx

Issued at: \_\_\_\_\_ on: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of the Verifier

\_\_\_\_\_