



INTERTANKO

**INTERTANKO
Seafarers Vetting Seminar
- Mumbai -
13 February 2017**

**Ajay Gour
Chemicals & Vetting
INTERTANKO**



- Terminal Vetting Database
- Vetting Inspection Feedback Forms
- Seafarers Guide to Vetting Inspections



Our members Goals:

- Zero Fatalities
- Zero Pollution
- Zero Detentions



Why have feedback systems?

- Not all terminals are as good as your ship
- Ship Shore Interface Critical period
- Risk increased by poor terminal safety
- Continuous Improvement – Terminals and Inspections



The Objective:

- Encourage Continual improvement by the Terminal
- Encourage positive Ship-Terminal Interaction
- Encourage continuous improvement of the inspection systems and inspectors
- Make Reports available on INTERTANKO website



What is the Aim of these systems?

- Check earlier Terminal reports **before** you arrive.
- Submit a Terminal report **after** you leave
- Submit a report following
 - Commercial Inspection – SIRE/CDI
 - PSC Inspection



Our Opinion Does Count



Our Voice is Heard!



Part 1 of the Questionnaire Vetting Inspection Feedback Forms (VIFF):

- The questions contained within the VIFF reflect the SIRE Inspector Code of Conduct

Part 2 of the Questionnaire relates to Port State Control inspections (PSCIFF):

- The questions contained in PSCIFF are in accordance to the IMO "Code of Good Practice for Port State Control Officers" as contained in document MSC-MEPC.4/Circ.2.



Vetting Inspection Feedback Form

INTERTANKO

STANDARD INSPECTION FEEDBACK FORM SYSTEM

Part 1 - Commercial Vetting Inspection

Scope & Purpose:

The scope of this feedback form is to supply confidential information to INTERTANKO for statistical purposes which will allow the vetting committee to continue to produce graphical data for Improving Commercial Ship Vetting Inspections. This information will be shared with the OCIMF compliance manager on a confidential basis as necessary.

Date of Inspection	<input type="text"/>	Oil or Chemical Company	<input type="text"/>
Name of Inspector	<input type="text"/>	Inspector Accreditation Number	<input type="text"/>
Total Time of Inspection	Hours <input type="text"/> Mins <input type="text"/>	Time Spent Discussing Observations	Hours <input type="text"/> Mins <input type="text"/>
Name of Vessel	<input type="text"/>	Vessel IMO Number	<input type="text"/>
Owner / Technical Manager	<input type="text"/>	Name of Port	<input type="text"/>

Master Comments

Did the Inspector introduce himself to the Master or the Master's authorized deputy, explain and agree the order in which the inspection was to be carried out, prior to commencement of the inspection?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Did the Inspector conduct himself in a professional manner?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Did the Inspector wear appropriate Personal Protective Equipment?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A
Was the Inspector polite to all staff and show due respect for the Masters position?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Did the Inspector use or attempt to use any electrical or electronic equipment of non-approved type in the gas-hazardous area?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A
Did the Inspector carefully consider and provide a proper response to every question?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A
Did the Inspector identify objective evidence when answering each question?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A
Was a close out meeting held and did the Inspector discuss each observation raised and provide necessary references to support the observations prior to leaving the vessel?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A
Did the Inspector explain in factual terms the basis for any observation without use of opinions or subjective comments during inspection?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> N/A



PSC Inspection Feedback Form

INTERTANKO



STANDARD INSPECTION FEEDBACK FORM SYSTEM

Part 2 - Port State Control Inspection

Scope & Purpose:

The scope of this feedback form is to supply confidential information to INTERTANKO for statistical purposes which will allow the Vetting Committee to continue to produce graphical data for improving Port State Control inspections.

This form has been revised based upon the IMO PSC Officer Code of Conduct- MSC/MEPC.4/Circ.2

Date of Inspection

PSC Authority

Name of Inspector

Name of Vessel

Vessel IMO Number

Owner / Technical Manager

Name of Port

Comments

Did the inspector present his identity card at the start of the inspection?

Yes No N/A

In your opinion did the inspector conduct the inspection in a professional manner, interpret the convention requirements in a consistent, pragmatic and professional manner?

Yes No N/A

Did the inspector comply with the ships housekeeping rules whilst onboard?

Yes No N/A

Did the inspector respect the authority of the Master or his deputy?

Yes No N/A

Did the inspector ask any crew to do things contrary to the conventions?

Yes No N/A

Did the inspector comply with the ships health and safety and security requirements?

Yes No N/A



Terminal Vetting Database

INTERTANKO

- You have the opportunity to “Vet” the Terminal.
- Questionnaire available on www.intertanko.com
- The Terminal is asked for “Terminal Comments” in response to any low score
- We post these comments in the database and...Deficiencies get corrected



The source of the below information will be kept confidential.

Vessel Information		Berth Information	
Vessel name:		* Country:	*
IMO #		* Port:	*
Master:		* Terminal:	*
Date reported:		* Berth:	*
Arrival draft:		* Operation:	*

Terminal Contact Information	
Contact person:	Email:
Telephone #:	Fax #:

Instructions

Please provide a rating for the following questions on a scale of 1-5.
 1:Unacceptable, 2:Below average, 3:Average, 4:Above average, 5:Excellent
 Comments are encouraged. All '1' and '2' ratings must be accompanied by comments.

Grouping	Rating	Explanation/Comments
Equipment:		
Bollard condition:	*	
Condition apron/fenders/dock:	*	
Condition chocks(s)/hose(s):	*	
Dock lighting:	*	
Shore Personnel:		
Pre-transfer conference:	*	
Safety awareness:	*	
English skills:	*	
Accessibility/Communication:	*	
Courtesy:	*	
Emergency preparedness:	*	
Miscellaneous:		
Surveyor safety awareness:	*	
Tug performance/condition:	*	
Port Facilities:		
Do they accept slops:	*	
Is current a big factor:	*	
Any surge due to traffic:	*	
Any berthing restrictions:	*	
Is the charted water depth at the berth accurate:	*	
Any physical obstructions to the berth:	*	
MSDS issued (load port only):	*	
Shore gangway:	*	
Tug line used:	*	
Quick release shore bollards:	*	

Click the button to the right to save the report >>>

- Only 1 page
- Quick
- Efficient
- User Friendly
- Scores from 1 to 5
 - 5 = Excellent
 - 1 = Unacceptable
 - (Comments are required to support a Low score)



INTERTANKO Seafarers' Guide to Vetting Inspections



- Look for this Publication onboard your ships
- Your Owners/managers should place one onboard



- It's a Team Effort
- Use the INTERTANKO feedback systems:
 - Terminal Vetting Database
 - Vetting Inspection Feedback Forms



- **TMSA3**
- **SIRE VIQ**
- **MEG**
- **ISGOTT**
- **Incident Repository**
- **INTERTANKO-OCIMF Safety Initiative**
- **IMO Regulations**



Thank You

